



# Evolution

Is it fact or theory?

Is it science or religion?

What is behind its birth?

What is behind its propoganda?

## PLEASE NOTE

This is the shortened, incomplete and unedited version of the book. Most of the illustrations have been omitted in order to facilitate quick downloads.

*By Dr. Izak Labuschagne*

Copyright © 2014 by Dr. Izak Labuschagne. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without the prior written permission of the author. Such rights are reserved by opening and reading this document.

## PRELIMINARY NOTES ON READING THIS BOOK

This presentation is designed for easy **cross-reference** to its various aspects if using the digital MS **Word** version supplied on CD (Note, **NOT** the pdf version): -

- The best place to start is at the **TABLE OF CONTENTS** following this section. Simply click on the heading you are interested in.
- When reading the presentation and wherever words in **blue boldface** appear these denote a hyperlink to a file on the accompanying CD and words with a **gray background** denote a cross reference to an annexure in this file.
- The same applies to any **footnotes** appearing in the text.
- Clicking on **Web sites** listed in the text will cause your Internet browser to be opened and the site accessed provided you are on the net.
- Clicking on **e-mail addresses** will open your internet mail program with the e-mail address in the send box
- A hyperlink link to a PowerPoint file will open a PowerPoint presentation

All you need to do is to place the cursor above the text so highlighted and click the left button on your mouse. You will then be taken directly to the section cross-referenced, web page or e-mail editing page.

To return to the paragraph in which the cross reference appeared simply click on the **blue arrow** pointing to the left of your screen in the menu section which would have appeared on the top of your screen.

Should you wish to gain a **closeup view** of any of the graphics included simply click on the menu item entitled, view and select the relevant enlargement you require and again when you are finished.

Should you have any queries, Dr. Izak Labuschagne can be contacted on (+61452578992. Alternatively, you can send an e-mail to Dr. Izak Labuschagne by clicking on the following link [izak@izak.co.za](mailto:izak@izak.co.za)

We trust that you will thoroughly enjoy the dynamics of this presentation.

First published as draft in 2001

Edited 2023

## INTRODUCTION OF THE AUTHOR

The submission you are about to experience was triggered by a Supreme Court case between the [African Christian Democratic Party \(ACDP\)](#) and one of their senators in which Izak was asked by their National Executive Committee to intervene in. Izak also served as non-affiliated Guardian Committee Member and Legal Advisor for the ACDP.

Izak intervened in the public interest in the St John's Apostolic Faith Mission case that he took to the Constitutional Court in what has now become known as *The Bible Case*. On the basis of the [1,642 pages of pleadings](#) in that case, Izak was granted an honorary [Doctorate in Ecclesiastical Law](#) by all the theological colleges with the Holy Nation Bible College originally assembled under Arlington University, which college also ordained him as Minister of the Gospel of Jesus Christ.

The other Supreme Court cases he was involved in led to [The Commission of Inquiry into Administrative Irregularities in the System of Justice and Activities by Members of Secret Societies](#). He fought cases and won (in person) against the likes of [Anglo American, Standard Bank and Portnet](#) in South Africa and [various cases in Swaziland](#). The late Judge Hassan Mall of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission granted the abovementioned commission following an application to the High Court of Durban brought in person by Izak Labuschagne in May 1995. The Commission is the first *actio popularis* i.e., [Peoples Commission](#) under Section 38 of the New Constitution of South Africa and Izak currently serves as the Chief Investigating Officer of the Commission. Following the activities of the commission and in particular its interaction with the office of the President of South Africa, the Judicial Services Commission adopted the practice of questioning all new judges on their affiliations to secret societies. Through the Commission Izak has gained a vast knowledge of [secret and not so secret societies](#), Biblical and Secular law, together with the ins and outs of the International Political, Business and Ecclesiastical world.

During that time Izak also served as [CEO for the SADC Development Fund Trust](#). Izak acted as Strategic Planner for several projects in the SADEC region, reported to the Australian High Commission in respect of projects in Swaziland.

By way of background, Izak was a [Certified Australian Investment Planner](#), member of the [International Financial Planners Association](#) and [advisor for the Bank of New Zealand](#) in respect of their Cash-link facility and a [licensed as a Dealers Representative](#) on the Sydney Stock Exchange in the 1980's.

Today, Izak is back in Australia, involved in some commercial activities and interesting initiatives as is his habit. Reference to his website at [www.izak.co.za](http://www.izak.co.za) will keep you up to date with what he is up to at present. In the light of the above, I am sure you will find this submission very stimulating. Should you have any questions, contact details will be made available at the end of this submission.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>PRELIMINARY NOTES ON READING THIS BOOK .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION OF SPEAKER.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>BACKGROUND.....</b>	<b>7</b>
RESEARCH BEGUN FROM AGE 12 .....	7
<i>The evolution speech</i> .....	7
<i>The conspirational reaction</i> .....	8
LEN LABUSCHAGNE SENIOR ON EVOLUTION.....	8
<i>The problem with beginnings</i> .....	8
ACCIDENT? .....	9
THE ACDP CASE .....	9
WHAT IS SCIENTIFIC FACT AND WHAT IS THEORY .....	14
<i>Uniformitarianism</i> .....	14
<i>The laws of thermodynamics</i> .....	15
<i>On Atoms</i> .....	15
THE PROBLEM WITH OBSERVABLE FACTS .....	16
<i>No evolutionary transition ever</i> .....	16
<i>No Evolution observable now</i> .....	16
<i>No new species?</i> .....	16
<i>Regarding Mutations</i> .....	16
<i>Gravity</i> .....	17
<i>Erosion</i> .....	17
<i>Oil</i> .....	18
<i>Meteoric dust</i> .....	18
THE PROBLEM WITH DATING TECHNIQUES .....	19
DATING METHODS .....	19
<i>Potassium Argon method</i> .....	19
<i>Carbon - 14</i> .....	19
<i>Rocks from the Moon</i> .....	19
<i>Human Footprints next those of dinosaurs?</i> .....	19
THE PROBLEMS WITH THE FOSSILIZED SKELETONS OF ANCIENT MAN.....	19
EVOLUTION POSTER .....	20
<i>Heidelberg man</i> .....	20
<i>Nebraska man</i> .....	20
<i>Hesperopithecus Man</i> .....	20
<i>Pitldown man</i> .....	20
<i>Peking Man</i> .....	20
<i>Neandrethal Man</i> .....	20
<i>New Guinea Man</i> .....	20
<i>Cro Magnon Man</i> .....	20
<i>Modern Man</i> .....	20
<i>Of giants and midgets</i> .....	21
<i>Goliath's skull</i> .....	21
<i>The permafrost cover up</i> .....	21
THE PROBLEM WITH INTER SPECIE DEPENDABILITY .....	22

<i>HUMMINGBIRDS AND EVOLUTION</i> .....	22
<i>Three basic concepts of Evolution mutually exclusive</i> .....	23
A SCIENTIFIC PHILOSOPHY .....	23
ONLY A THEORY.....	24
<i>As a result the characteristics of expressions by experts on evolution</i> .....	24
<b>IS EVOLUTION A RELIGION?.....</b>	<b>25</b>
SECULAR HUMANISM .....	25
<i>Humanist Manifesto II</i> .....	25
SECULAR HUMANISM RULED TO BE A RELIGION IN USA .....	26
SPREADING THE RELIGION THROUGH GRADUALISM IN EDUCATION .....	27
<i>As was written in Protocol 9, page 42 of the famed Protocols of Zion</i> .....	28
OVERVIEW OF PROTOCOLS OF THE LEARNED ELDERS OF ZION .....	28
<i>Banned due to controversy</i> .....	28
<i>Remarkably accurate</i> .....	29
<i>The protocols on religion</i> .....	30
<b>BRAINWASHING .....</b>	<b>31</b>
TRAINING MANUAL No. TM-SW7905.1.....	32
MIND CONTROL APOTHEOSIS.....	38
SOUTH AFRICAN LAW .....	39
INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS .....	39
OFFICIAL DEFINITION AND CATEGORISATION OF “FUNDAMENTALISTS”.....	41
A NEW WORLD ORDER .....	41
WORLD CONSTITUTION AND PARLIAMENT ASSOCIATION (WCPA) AND PROVISIONAL WORLD GOVERNMENT (PWG).....	42
<i>The Genesis flood and the cover-up of the ark at Ararat</i> .....	46
IS THERE LIFE IN OUTER SPACE .....	46
LATEST LEGAL ACTIONS .....	46
LATEST NEWS.....	47
<i>Evolutionists Ignore Kansas Hearings. WHY?</i> .....	47
<i>Mr. Paps</i> .....	48
<b>ANCILLARY ARTICLES .....</b>	<b>50</b>
CREATION, EVOLUTION, AND THE CHRISTIAN .....	50
"THE BIBLE HAS THE ANSWER".....	58
<i>"Has Modern science discredited the Bible?"</i> .....	59
IS THERE A LARGE GAP OF TIME BETWEEN GENESIS 1:1 AND 1:2? .....	61
IN THE BEGINNING: COMPELLING EVIDENCE FOR CREATION AND THE FLOOD.....	64
<b>ABOUT THIS SITE .....</b>	<b>64</b>
<i>Table of contents</i> .....	66
<i>Preface v</i> .....	66
<i>Footnotes linked to web Pages</i> .....	69
<i>B</i> .....	69
<i>Extract - Part I - The Scientific Case for Creation</i> .....	71
WHAT DOES THE BIBLE SAY ABOUT UFO’S AND EXTRATERRESTRIALS.....	72
FAILING ATTEMPTS TO DEFEND EVOLUTION .....	72
<i>Creation "Science" Debunked</i> .....	72
BIBLE SCRIPTURE RELATING TO CREATION AND EVOLUTION .....	73
<i>Genesis 1</i> .....	73
<i>Genesis 2</i> .....	74

<i>Genesis 6</i> .....	75
<i>Job</i> .....	75
<i>Romans 1</i> .....	75
<i>Hebrews</i> .....	76
<b>SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>76</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> .....	<b>77</b>
CREATION / EVOLUTION.....	77
<i>Publications &amp; Creationist organisations</i> .....	78
NEW WORLD ORDER.....	79
INDOCTRINATION TECHNIQUES.....	82
<i>Newsletters</i> .....	85
<i>Videos</i> .....	85
NEW AGE SECULAR HUMANISM .....	85
<i>Video Tapes</i> .....	86
LAW RELATED.....	86
<i>Newsletters and Christian Legal Organisations</i> .....	87
<b>LINKS</b> .....	<b>89</b>
<i>Periodicals</i> .....	89



# BACKGROUND

## ***Research begun from age 12***

The author has been researching evolution from a very early age. Since then, he has continuously discussed the issue with most people he has been in contact with. Most of the time they have to acquiesce to the pure logic of the presentation. Never has he lost a debate on the issue, not even with proponents of evolution holding PhD's in their field of science. The matter was even debated in the High Court case of South Africa involving a political party. The QC who had stumbled into making one of those typically scurrilous remarks that evolutionary proponents are famous for, wisely kept his silence after the reply. But we shall deal with that later.

As you will note, the author takes a proper overview of the subject. He approaches the subject from a level that does not allow argument or debate to be confined to some area that does not allow consideration of the big picture in our world. This discussion has been checked for viruses like the manipulative application of, a narrow view of some specific scientific field of inquiry, relativism and yes even the whole concept of western rationalism. Take a look at the section on brainwashing and you will see that the antiviral software employed is the best there is.

## **The evolution speech**

When the author was but 12 years old, his English teacher was a Master Timothy Gain. Gain turned out to be by far the best teacher he would ever encounter. He did not know that then of course. All he experienced was the formalism on entering class. *Gentlemen!* Good gracious - gentleman? He was just 12 years old. *You may be seated. However, as new-boys you may not remove your jackets. Anyone caught cutting out the backs of their shirts will get six of the best!* – Now Shakespeare. *But first, I need to get to know you, so you will prepare a speech in a topic of your choice lasting six minutes and we shall begin hearing these at the next class.* - Back to Shakespeare. - Glorious British Grammar School stuff!

At the time, one of the most superb short articles on evolution had just appeared in a Christian magazine called *The Flame*. His mother used to get it regularly at that time. Having immensely enjoyed the article and having therefore also freshly committed the salient facts to memory it served the occasion well. Within six minutes he quite eloquently and rather mercilessly shot the theory of evolution down in, pardon me if I say it, flames. Well, it certainly started a fire all right. The geography teacher happened to be a rabid evolutionist called Mr. Adams. No kidding, that, quite ironically, was his surname! The article was really good. No answer could be found to refute the speech. It was scientifically very sound indeed. The orator too was hard at work with his job of discussing the futility of pretending that there is no God with his classmates. His rendition of hell was straight from the book upon which the whole of western society had based their laws and culture. It comprised of a full disclosure of all of the risk. Many of his classmates were making the only logical and reasonable decision that any free-thinking scholar who is vested with a high degree of integrity when it comes to facing overwhelming facts, could arrive at.

## **The conspirational reaction**

Adams, a few of the other secularly minded types, and the Granton House housemaster, Hugo Ackerman (who also ended up being the principal) were quite put out. Uncomfortable, no less. The plucky piano player, who had surprised everyone by pummeling the class bully into apologizing for calling him a sissy, was no longer a favorite. In fact, he was intruding on issues they had spent many years burying and smothering with every type of activity, mainly sports. The result was that every effort was made to cut the young man out of the first teams. *What would we do with such a nuisance on a long bus journey? He'd have us as a captive audience!* The conspiracy was blessed with deadly success in that respect. They even made sure that the rifle he got when doing the shooting trials was so worn it could not get a proper grouping going if it was clamped in a vice. The author had been taught by his father (a renown big game hunter) how to cull the flocks of birds that destroyed the family vegetable and fruit gardens when he was just 6 years old. He was so good so soon that the 5-cent incentive provided by his father for each bird that was shot, was quickly withdrawn. He could out shoot anyone at that school with his eyes closed. Needless to say, he never made the shooting team.

## **Len Labuschagne Senior on Evolution**

His grandfather, Len Labuschagne was known for his clear thinking and pragmatic approach to issues. In fact, he was known by his fellows as *the brain of the Transvaal*. His fellows were by no means simpletons either. He had acquired degrees as a young man and practiced as an attorney for a while until he raised enough capital to start farming. He was a Commandant (Lieutenant Colonel) in the Boer War. He sat on the boards of the most prominent organizations in his field of practice. He was sought after for his sound advice, even by General Smuts, the Prime Minister of South Africa in the 40's.

## **The problem with beginnings**

Len got to hear of his grandson's speech at school and asked him to repeat what he had said. Afterward he said. *You know son, it is actually a very simple matter*, and proceeded to ask him a few pertinent questions. They went as follows: -

*Where do these evolutionists say it all began?*

*Well, with matter that turned to life in some ooze.*

*And where did the matter come from?*

*Well, they say that some gases that were in space had reacted with one another and exploded in what they call "The Big Bang" and then cooled into particles of matter that formed Stars, suns, planets and moons etc.*

*And where did the gas come from?*

*I don't think they have gone back that far.*

*Now, there you have it. He said*



*Go back far enough and you will find a creator. There is no other way.*

*Actually, everyone knows there is a God. Most people just do not have the guts to confront Him. We all know we are accountable to Him and need to make a deal about our dismal nature and conduct. Very few have the courage to deal with God, most try to pretend Him away. Some go to great lengths like these evolutionists. Futile. Silly.*

He picked up an orange and his pen-knife and started peeling it. Took a bite of a segment and smiled. He reached for the next segment and said as he held it up.

*Is this an accident?*

That was the end of the conversation. Nothing more was needed. "Oupa" (grandpa) Len sure could cut to the chase and he knew it. Nothing more was said. It had all been said.

### **Accident?**

The big bang theory. An accident. An explosion. Len was in two wars, the author in one. Have you ever seen the aftermath of an explosion, especially one after an accident. There is just one word for it. Chaos. The only time I have ever seen order come from such chaos is after enormous energy and effort was poured into activities characterized by orderly organization, usually referred to as a mop up operation. Unless there is such a high level of intervention, chaos remains just what it is. The esoteric slogan of the Machiavellian cult is "*ordo ab chao*". It is based on the Machiavellian politics of *crisis management*. Creating a crises or chaos, so as to install some other order as a remedy, which order or remedy would not have been acceptable if the crises was not created in the first place. This takes real intelligent organization, as any member of the Masonic 33<sup>rd</sup> degree council (which uses the same slogan) will tell you.

Why? It is like being asked to believe that a hurricane blew through a junkyard and accidentally assembled a Boeing 747 fueled and standing on a runway ready for take-off. The mechanics of one cell vastly exceeds that of such a plane. Not to mention the DNA needed for reproduction. There is not one Boeing that has a life of its own and if so, can reproduce.

Thank God for that!

### **The ACDP Case**

The author was asked by the National Executive Committee of the African Christian Democratic Party (ACDP) to handle a case for them. It was before the Supreme Court in the capital of South Africa, Johannesburg (the Witwatersrand Local Division).

The ACDP had fired a member of parliament from the Legislature for the province of Gauteng, in which the capital of South Africa, Johannesburg, was situated. The author anticipated widely when drafting his papers and included a section on

evolution. Well he did so, because on the first day of the hearing the QC that the opposition (one Dr. Bam) had engaged, actually raised the issue in his opening statement.

Incidentally, Bam (and that was not his real name, neither was he degreed) was beneficiary to some clandestine funding from the ANC government's religious wing. They had already used him to infiltrate the party.

The author had been called in because the lawyers that had handled the case of the ACDP had made some very suspicious blunders. At the time the author had just been given leave to set up a Commission of Inquiry into Irregularities in the system of justice and the activities of secret societies See <https://izak.co.za/Notices.htm> He also quickly ascertained links between the lawyers and agents of Military Intelligence who had sought to infiltrate the party on behalf of yet another party, in this case the previous leaders of the government, the National Party.

So, on the day of the hearing, the QC appearing before a judge who had shared chambers with him previously, launched into his opening debate: -

*M' lord, I appear for the respondent in this interlocutory application (the applicant in the founding application). The applicant in this matter is represented by a layman, Mr. Labuschagne. The applicant comprises of an organization that forms part of a group of grouping of people holding beliefs on the lunatic fringe. Beliefs such as that the world was created when all of modern civilization knows from having studied the respected fields of science, that the world and all we have today came about by a process of evolution.*

Orderly debate, giving everyone a fair chance to state their case and then to replicate in an orderly fashion until the salient points of the respective arguments had been properly exhausted by inquiry, is something that the author deeply believes in. A court of law should exemplify that process to its fullest. However, there are also appropriate instances in which interruption is permitted. These situations should be avoided if at all possible. Where an interruption would serve to curtail unnecessary future debate, that is of course most desirable. The problem with that approach is that it is usually abused. What follows is an example of an interruption that was indeed very necessary. What follows is not an accurate rendition, word for word. It occurred too long ago to be remembered so clearly. Paraphrased as it may be, it contains the gist of what happened that day in argument before that court.

Labuschagne rose to his feet and was immediately confronted by the judge.

*You are interrupting counsel Mr. Labuschagne!*

*Permit me your lordship, I intervene "amicus curiae" (amicably seeking a cure) in order that we treat my learned opponent's opening statement as a "point in limine", the ruling upon which may then be used to cause this application to stand or fail.*

There was consternation. The ACDP thought that their representative had gone mad. The opposition thought they had just won the case.

Labuschagne, still on his feet, picked up a piece of paper from the table in front of him and handed a copy to his opponent and one to the officer of court in attendance for handing up evidence to the bench.

*Permit me sir, to hand up evidence procured at the University of the Witwatersrand, which sir, I submit will enable your lordship to rule on the issue without much delay.*

The document stated that certain odds, previously incalculable were now calculable because of the latest advances in electronic computing power.

*The premise from which I depart is that these are civil proceedings in which judgment is based and dependent on the balance of probabilities (or the preponderance of possibilities rather) presented by evidence and not on the basis of irrefutable evidence presented.*

*The judgment sought by the raising of this point in limine then sir, would be to declare that either that the applicants or the respondents in this matter are of the lunatic fringe. Should the applicants be declared lunatic fringe then I see no reason why the application should continue, as this court would have been seized by what are for all intents and purposes lunatics and as such, lunatics ruled so by the judiciary of this country. Any attempt by them to seek justice in a forum that would be similarly disposed toward them would of course be futile.*

*Such a ruling is absolutely necessary following the opening remarks of my learned opponent because now there can be no knowing if the seeds of bias have not already taken route in the bench. There may, be review proceedings sought in the event of an adverse judgment and that would not be in the interest of expediency and finality in the process of justice.*

*So then, to the evidence just handed up Sir. As you will note, your Lordship, they say that the elements of an amino acid has turned out to be so complex as to defy calculating the probabilities of such an acid accidentally coming about, such as of course suggested by the scientific theory of evolution. The odds of that happening, as you will see sir, are calculated at one chance in ten to the power of 58, i.e. the number ten with 58 zero's behind it.*

*Your Lordship will note the quotation by what is regarded in the modern scientific community as arguable the greatest scientific mind of our time, the legendary Prof. Albert Einstein. Prof Einstein states that any probability calculations in excess of 1 to 10 to the power of 50 is in fact no probability at all since belief in such a remote possibility would require a degree of contemplation far exceeding the capabilities of any scientist's powers of deduction and reasoning.*

*An amino acid sir, is but the building block of life. It is cells, that start it all, according to the evolutionists.*

*The odds of a cell in its simplest form accidentally coming about, as your lordship will note, is calculated at 1 chance in 10 to the power of 168.*

*The analogy given for such odds sir, is of a crew member in the space shuttle losing a piece of paper which then floats down to the earth, excepting that he did not know at which point in his orbit around the earth he had lost it. The paper could have been carried anywhere in jet streams, winds or sea currents. He then decides to find it by randomly throwing out a single radio transmitter attached to a spear in the hope that it would pierce the paper where it was at that instant.*

*His chances of recovering the paper, is of course, quite ludicrously remote.*

*Now, whilst I have the greatest respect for my learned opponents` mental abilities, I have even more respect for the level of faith he is obviously able to demonstrate in support of beliefs. His powers of faith and religion is in fact far beyond any levels that fundamentalist Christians as I know them are able to aspire to.*

Labuschagne sat down.

The judge was not impressed. The QC rose ponderously and said: -

*I see m` Lord that it is well after 11.am. Might I suggest that we adjourn for tea.*

The judge was instantaneous in his reply.

*Very well, this court is adjourned till 2.30.*

At 2:30 they were called from court to the judge`s chambers.

*Mr. Labuschagne, you are asking me to declare that one of the greatest legal minds in this country and a member of the Queens Council in London no less, is in fact part of the lunatic fringe. Do you realize what you are asking, - the implications?*

*Well, sir, its either that, or declare an entire political party represented in our National Parliament and Judiciary together with all its members, lunatic fringe. Something that may well be interpreted as the greatest act of mass defamation ever to ensue from the bench. In fact, since that grouping is represented in Parliament, such a ruling may well also be in contravention of the Contempt of Parliament and Judiciary Act of 1935.*

*As to the actual need for judgment, permit me to remind you sir, that It was you, your lordship, who permitted the argument and did not intervene to curtail it. It was duly placed before court, it was answered and now it requires your ruling sir.*

One can win the battle and loose the war. It is OK of course if that was the strategy. In this case it was because time was needed to re-convene a hearing for Bam and conduct it in the rectitude required by the judgment now

being appealed against, to the fire him properly and to do it before any possible adverse judgment on the current appeal would result in a final court order for his re-appointment.

The judge stated that he would rule on the matter once the QC's *point in limine* regarding the *locus standi* of Izak Labuschagne (which he was about to make when he was interrupted) was ruled upon. The reason being that if Labuschagne had no right to represent the ACDP then he had no right to launch a *point in limine* and therefore no ruling would be necessary.

How convenient!

Well, it was inevitable from there. Labuschagne appealed the negative ruling against his right to intervene in a matter in the public interest as described in the new constitution of South Africa. Something that was complex and took a lot of time. After extensive argument on the matter, which also dealt with a similar judgment that was severely criticized in the South African Law Journal, the matter of public interest intervention in South Africa is to this day still far from being properly decided. If an issue is too sticky, any judge can dodge it. By the time he becomes a judge he should be conversant with all the tricks in the book to do so. It has never fooled the intelligent members of society and I suppose it never will.

Be that as it may, the convoluted processes that emerged suited the purposes of the overall strategy perfectly. Bam never made it back into the party.

Dr. Izak Labuschagne recently summed the problem up in an affidavit posted on the internet. By referring to the link <https://izak.co.za/supplaffid3.pdf> the reader will realize that the statement quoted below is in fact heavily supported by statutory provisions in several fields of South Africa law, notably its constitution, which supersedes all other fields and case law. It is supported by quotes from some of the most prominent legal authorities in South Africa. At one point in the affidavit, he wrote: -

*One of the underlying reasons for this type of behaviour is the insidious and childish habit of officers of court, including judges, registrars and lawyers in claiming the courts as their exclusive arena and resenting anyone who approaches the court directly without paying them their shall we call it a "cover charge" for entry to their arena. They resent and are jealous of anyone other than their "brethren" entering their little, shall we call it "lodge". Accordingly, they go out of their way to create as many obstacles and make it as difficult as possible for any member of the public who dares seek justice without their involvement.*

Secular humanists (see the section headed Secular Humanism) in positions of authority are able to readily display religious fanaticism without any shame. Bear in mind too that South Africa was declared a secular state and that the official religion of South Africa is Ubuntu (African secularism defines as *I am because we are, we are because I am*). The old chicken and egg type of circular reasoning. God has nothing to do with that equation. For details of that see the link <http://www.izak.co.za/ubuntu.pdf> and also the headings hereunder named Is evolution a religion? And Secular Humanism.

## ***What is Scientific fact and what is theory.***

Science means knowledge, and therefore includes only that which we actually know by direct observation and experience.

It is the organized body of factual knowledge and relationships. The "Scientific method" necessarily involves experimental reproductivity and verification.

Only once so verified does something become scientific fact.

Like the consistent observations that objects only fall perpendicularly to the ground when dropped from a stationary position. From this can be deduced the fact that gravity is exerted down and not horizontally across the earth. A pity, else we would all be able to fly see.

Scientific theory on the other hand is directly linked to the Scientists philosophies that propagate those theories. Until those theories are proven by the system of inquiry referred to above it remains a theory.

1. Evolution has never ever been proved.
2. It has never been observed and
3. It cannot be substantiated by any experiment.

It is therefore a theory. As in most cases, one backed by bits of evidence that have been interpreted so as to fit it. The same evidence can be interpreted so as to fit what evolutionists refer to as the theory of creation.

What is more likely when we see things being created, like babies? The theory of evolution or creation? On a balance of probabilities, what is it? In the complete and utter absence of any hard evidence or scientific facts proving the theory of evolution, what is easier to accept? Something requiring belief in such far-fetched odds, or something requiring belief that is backed up by supernatural phenomena such as unexplained miraculous healings backed up by observable scientific fact of before and after X Rays? Something underwritten by a 5,000-year-old book that has such a record of credibility, that we owe it today's date.

### **Uniformitarianism**

The one philosophy is to explain everything in terms of what is happening now. This necessarily leads to Evolutionism. This itself though violates the two most fundamental laws of science namely: -



## **The laws of thermodynamics**

The first and Second law of Thermodynamics, which deals with ENERGY

1ST LAW (law of Mass Energy Conservation)

*" Nothing is now being created or destroyed"*

2nd Law (Law of increasing entropy (Energy decay))

*"In all real processes there a net loss f energy available for further work*

Thus

All processes are conservative and disintegrative and not creative and integrative

This correlates with scripture, which states:

*" All of his works were finished from the foundation of the World " (Hebrews 4:3)*

and

*" He is now ' upholding all things by the word of his power" (Hebrews 1:3)*

## **On Atoms**

Nobody knows what holds protons together and why they do not all repel each other into space.

(I Colossians 1: 17 - Christ the creator 'is before all things, and by Him all things consist (are held together)

and in John 1:3 - 'All things were made by Him; and without Him was not anything made that was made'

Then there is the Brownian Motion, entropy phenomenon

*Entropy (the disorder of randomness) of a system tends to increase and this tendency can only be superseded, locally and temporarily, if there is an excess supply of ordering energy brought in from outside the system.*

This is again in line with Scripture (Romans 8: 21,22) and (Genesis 3:17)

(For further reading see "Biblical Cosmology and Modern Science Nutley, N.J. Craig Press 1970 pp 111-139) and (H.M Morris Evolution and the Modern Christian (Nutley N.J. Presbyterian and Reformed Publishing Co. 1976 pp 72)

## ***The problem with observable facts***

### **No evolutionary transition ever**

There has been no observable fact, fossil record or any scientific evidence ever recorded, where one specie has transformed (evolved) into another. All the missing links are still intact. Mollusks to fish, fish to birds, and so on.

### **No Evolution observable now**

There is no evidence whatsoever of any evolutionary process taking place at present.

In all the years of observation from the time the theory was proposed, evolution in process has not been observed anywhere. In fact, based on that alone, the chances of evolution actually being possible are made more and more remote as each day passes.

Mutations are observed, but they do not lead to a new specie being formed, as the evolutionists will have us believe has been happened all along.

Cross breeding between specie's can be forced like between horse and donkey, but the offspring cannot reproduce.

### **No new species?**

Through breeding or natural selection, new VARIETIES OF THE SAME SPECIES are created but not a new species! Nor even an intermediary species.

There is absolutely no evidence of any such process taking place today. Why has evolution then, suddenly stopped???

How would Scientists be able to classify animals if evolution was prevalent now? The classification of animals in itself shows that they are all separate. (See Genesis 'each was created according to its kind')

Why then, can forced intermediaries not reproduce, like the Mule???

### **Regarding Mutations**

The evolutionists will tell you that evolution is proved because there are: -

*"Mutations due to the rearrangement in DNA expressed in light and dark moths in England"*

What they do not disclose:

Is that there are two colour phases of the same moth and because of smog in England, trees have darkened in England and the camouflage that once protected the light moths from birds

now protects the dark moths. <sup>1</sup>

99,9% of all mutations are harmful as opposed to better, and the species dies out, or cannot reproduce.

According to the second Law of Thermodynamics, the probabilities are that: -  
"if by some infinitesimal chance, the order of things is accidentally raised contrary to the law, then the chances that another mutation would improve the system is even smaller; because the probability of an increased order arising by random variation decreases as the degree of order of the system increases.

**Any creation in such odds is a supernatural miracle and cries out for an explanation based on supernatural intervention!!!<sup>2</sup>**

Evolutionists will boast that Science has produced life in the laboratory.

What they do not tell you is that those who make this claim define "Life" in a way which most evolutionary scientist will not even accept.

Nothing has been produced that even resembles a creature that can reproduce itself.

## **Gravity**

It is a simple observable fact that the rate of acceleration of objects falling to the earth under the force of gravity is declining. As a result, the factor used in making calculations dependent on input regarding the force of gravity has gradually declined over time. Educational institutions update this from time to time. Nothing much is said about though, why?

Well because if one extrapolates the rate of decline into the past then the force of gravity would have been so high 20,000 years ago that the planet would have been red hot. Anything evolving then would have been toast.

## **Erosion**

According to Evolutionary Uniformitarian Geologists the earth is approximately 4.5 billion years Old<sup>3</sup>.

However, it would take erosion only 14 million years to

---

<sup>1</sup> Scientific American Vol 200, March 1959, Dr. H.B.D. Kettlewell

<sup>2</sup> (See The Twilight of Evolution, Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1964 page 103 )\_

<sup>3</sup> (Mc Graw Hill Encyclopedia of Science and Technology Vol 6 Pg151)

wash away everything above sea level.

The total amount of sediment flowing into the ocean every year is 27.5 billion Tons

That is not counting the fact that rainfall seems to be decreasing or taking into consideration that Noah's Ark exists and there is inescapable proof that there was a massive flood on the earth.

There are 30.4 million cubic miles of Continental crust above sea level having a mass of 383 Million Billion tons

383 million Billion Tons

---

27.5 billion Tons per year = 14 million years

(See "Creation: Acts, Facts, Impacts" 1974 By the Institute for Creation Research, San Diego, California, pages 166 - 167)

If the earth was only 1 billion years old the continents would have eroded away some 70 times!

## **Oil**

- 1 Dr. Melvin Cook said that if oil in the earth was as old as geologists claim (80,000,000 years), its pressure would have since dissipated.

The Present pressure of oil indicates nothing over 10,000 Years.

(Chapter 12-13 of Prehistory and Earth Models by Melvin A. Cook, Max Parish Company, 1966)

- 2 Children at school are taught that it takes millions of years to produce oil:

In the magazine "Machine Design" of 14 May 1970 Scientists in a lab produce a barrel of oil from one ton of garbage in 20 minutes.

## **Meteoric dust**

According to the "Scientific American, Feb 1960, pg 132"

14,300,000 tons of meteoric dusts (mainly nickel dust ) settles on the earth each year. This is derived from simple quantum measurement on the surface and below the ocean.

The same dust falls on the moon. In 5 billion years the dust would be 137 feet deep.

However, when Armstrong stepped on the moon Armstrong's footprints went through only 1/8th inch of dust, equivalent to some 7,000 years of dust which correlates with the bible version of creation!!!!

(See the Creation explanation, Kofahl & Seagraves, Harold Shaw Publishers)

## ***The problem with dating techniques***

### ***Dating methods***

#### **Potassium Argon method**

Various samples of volcanic material that was known to be less than 200 years old tested at between 160 mil and 3 billion years old. The volcano erupted in 1801.<sup>4</sup>

#### **Carbon - 14**

A shell from a living mollusk was tested for carbon 14 and found to be dead for 3,000 years<sup>5</sup>

#### **Rocks from the Moon**

These have been dated by various radio metric techniques and they VARIED from 700 Mil to 28 Mil years.

(John G. Read, "What is the Scientific certainty of Evolution? "Scientific representations. P.O. Box 2384, Culver City, California, 90230)

#### **Human Footprints next those of dinosaurs?**

Human footprints were found in Limestone along with Dinosaur footprints near the Paluxy river in Texas.<sup>6</sup>

Now that brings us to the next problem: -

### ***The problems with the fossilized skeletons of ancient man***

When investigating their famous humanoid fossil record, one quickly realizes that evolutionists will go to any lengths to prove their point and any blunders they make are just glossed over. There simply is no sense of credibility in their work.

The Illustration overleaf illustrates this point: -

---

<sup>4</sup> (Journal of Geophysical research, July 15 1968 pg 4601, Funkhouser & Naughton)

<sup>5</sup> (Science Vol 141 August 16 1963 pg 634 M Keith and G Anderson)

<sup>6</sup> ("The Great Dinosaur Mistake " by Seagraves Beta Books Pgs 34-39)

## Evolution Poster



### Heidelberg man

Built from the jawbone that was conceded by many to be quite human

### Nebraska man

Scientifically built up from one tooth, later found to be the tooth of an extinct pig

### Hesperopithecus Man

Same story. They like this trick.

### Piltown man

The jawbone turned out to belong to a modern ape and a fossilized Human Skull.

(Evolution and Christian Faith by Dr. Bolton David Heyse The Presbyterian and reformed Publishing Company (1969) Pgs. 240-249)

### Peking Man

Supposedly 500,000 years old, but all evidence has DISAPPEARED!!! You see the Peking bones were well guarded in China by the finders. Two French scientists who were permitted to study them found them to be animal bones. Then the bones mysteriously disappeared during WW II

(Patrick O'Connell, "Science of Today and the problems of Genesis" Christian Book Club of America. Hawthorne, California. 90250 (1969) pgs. 108-136)

### Neanderthal Man

At the International Congress of Zoology (1958) Dr. A.J.E. Cave said his examination showed that this famous skeleton found in France over 50 years ago is that of an old man who suffered from arthritis.

### New Guinea Man

Dates back to 1970. This species has been found in the region just north of Australia.

### Cro Magnon Man

One of the earliest and best-established fossils is at least equal in physique and brain capacity to modern man... so what is the difference.

### Modern Man

This genius thinks he can convince all of us that we are just a bunch of monkeys.

(Professing themselves to be wise they became fools)

(Romans 1:22)



From "Time Magazine's issue of Nov 10th 1975 pg 93

**"Mrs. Leakey's discovery makes the chart obsolete".**

Evolutionists said then that they simply moved man back 3 million years and the other phases simply overlap in time.

Well, if that is the case, then that 3 million year wipes out half the chart because:

How could man evolve from Australopithecus if man was there 1 million years before Australopithecus's existence!

**Of giants and midgets**

One day a diminutive Jewish professor gave a speech in a South African University about how his discovery of the fossilized heel bone he claimed was from the Australopithecus fossil discovered in the Kalahari desert and named Lucy', proves that Australopithecus minor preceded the next phase of evolutionary development Australopithecus major by some 6 million years.

A South Africa professor called up a large rugby forward during question time and used him to illustrate a point. In other words, professor, you are saying that you could have precede this rugby forward by 6 million years. Are you not both of the same species, yet vastly different to one another.

Another student wanted to know if Lucy had stepped on a mine, since her heel bone was discovered 6 miles from the rest of her skeleton.


**Goliath's skull.**

Yeas, the skull was discovered! The skeleton needed to support that skull would have to be about 13 feet tall. It so happens that it matches the Biblical record exactly.

A skeleton was dug up below where they found the Neanderthal man. It was 18 foot tall. It disappeared. Wonder why?

**The permafrost cover up**

In the permafrost of the Scandinavian countries and the



USSR, they have consistently dug up mammoths mixed with sharks, fish, birds, man and yes even giant men. *Very large lizards*, were also discovered, in this maelstrom. That is exactly what happened with the Geneses flood of course. The areas that dried up turned the debris into fossils. The areas that remain frozen still are. Funny how the evolutions avoid digging in the permafrost like the plague

### ***The problem with inter specie dependability***

## **HUMMINGBIRDS AND EVOLUTION**

*February 24, 2003 (David Cloud, Fundamental Baptist Information Service, P.O. Box 610368, Port Huron, MI 48061, 866-295-4143,; for instructions about subscribing and unsubscribing or changing addresses, see the information paragraph at the end of the article) [fbns@wayoflife.org](mailto:fbns@wayoflife.org)*

*The following article by Eugene Halonen is from Christian News, March 27, 1989:*

During the week of February 12, 1989, public television channels presented a beautiful documentary on hummingbirds. The material included spectacular and unchallengeable proofs of creation that the narrator repeatedly described as adaptations due to evolution. He stated that **in the tropical rain forest there are species of flowers, which, because of their peculiar design, can be pollinated only by hummingbirds with bills of matching design.**

*One flower is curved, preventing insect pollination. ONLY one particular species of hummingbird with a matching curved bill can penetrate to the stigma and can thus pollinate the flower. The other is a large flower, 6 to 8 inches long, which can be pollinated only by the Sword Bill Hummingbird whose bill is longer than its body length.*

*Each of these flowers is dependent for its very existence, therefore, on the hummingbird that pollinates it. And each hummingbird, as well, is dependent for its existence on the flower that it pollinates--the bird must have the flowers' nectar to sustain its life.*

*Since the flowers have no perches, the tiny birds must hover at the flower's opening with wings beating 1200 times per minutes, and heart beating 398 times per minutes. The hummingbird uses such an enormous amount of energy while hovering, that it **MUST FEED EVERY 3 TO 5 SECONDS.***

*Evolution (transmutation) requires millions of years (and hundreds of thousands of transitional fossils for each transmutation according to Darwin) to progress from a simple amoeba to a creature as amazingly complex as a hummingbird. Consider, too, the astronomical odds against a specific species of flower and a specific species of bird, from two totally different kingdoms, appearing on the scene at the very same instant. But the stark reality is that if both flowers and hummingbirds were not there,*

*TOGETHER, FULLY DEVELOPED and FULLY FUNCTIONING, AT THE VERY SAME MOMENT IN TIME, both species would quickly die!! The hummingbird without the flowers' nectar would falter and fall to its death within 10 seconds or so; and the flowers would wilt and die shortly thereafter, having no pollinator to keep them alive.*

*You might well ask, "What does the hummingbird do at nightfall when the flowers close?" Would you believe that evolution, in its omniscience and mercy, has provided the hummingbird with the ability to perch firmly on a branch, then slow its heart rate to 36 beats per minute, and then reduce itself to a state of torpor where energy consumption is almost nil?*

*Isn't evolution amazing? Without a mind of its own, evolution has somehow managed (thru the evolutionary magic of "natural selection," "survival of the fittest," and "millions of years") to bring together two marvelously complex species, a flower from the Plant Kingdom and a Hummingbird from the Animal Kingdom; and, in its eternal wisdom and goodness, evolution miraculously brought them forth (after millions of years) in the same split second in time, fully developed, perfectly compatible, ready to serve each other, and to live happily together for all time. Although mindless, evolution is clearly a kind and caring father!*

*The Lord Jesus had some fitting words applicable to those who would promote such SCIENCE, "falsely so-called,*

*" Matthew 23:24, they are "blind guides who strain at a gnat and swallow a camel,"  
and  
Matthew 15:14, " ... they are blind leaders of the blind..."*

### **Three basic concepts of Evolution mutually exclusive**

There are three basic concepts of Evolution and these are: -

- 1 Evolution (change)
- 2 progressive Evolution
- 3 Theistic evolution

The problem is that each group conclusively proves the other two are impossible.

### ***A scientific philosophy***

The theory of evolution is none other than a Scientific Philosophy being pushed as a religion which includes Globalism the "New Morality" and "ethical relativism".

Professors fear teaching facts which could get them ridiculed discredited or fired.

It costs millions to educate the masses. Once the Government have the funds a direction for education must be selected, decisions must be made, this is where manipulation, indoctrination and brainwashing, come into play.

The Creation Research Society of Ann Arbor, Michigan alone, way back in 1976 had 450 members with Masters and Doctorates in various fields of science, not counting others.

Almost every Creation Science professor has been systematically eliminated from the world's Universities. All the scientific studies and scientific evidence of electromagnetic video taping of spirits leaving the bowie of terminally ill persons in hospitals as they die and the massive cataloguing of paranormal phenomena as a result of those experiments have also disappeared.

A survey of the world's universities will show that in the last 15 years almost all books containing the factual information (satellite photograph, samples, expedition reports) of Noah's Ark which rests on Mt Ararat has disappeared from the shelves.

Why hide these facts from the public? Obviously for the purposes of promoting Luciferic Illuminism, the religion of the new World order. All one needs to do is to a look at the extracts from 'Global Occupation' overleaf especially the articles under the 'Constitution for the Federation of Earth'

### ***Only a theory***

The fact is that the theory of evolution is just that, a theory and one as it turns out that is highly unlikely indeed. Not surprisingly, the; -

### **As a result, the characteristics of expressions by experts on evolution:**

Are as follows:

*"probably" "may have" "For some unknown cause" "about"  
'Might have"*

## IS EVOLUTION A RELIGION?

The main aim of the theory of evolution is to prove that what we see was not created by a god but came about all of itself. It forms the foundation for the belief that there is no God. That belief of course is Secular Humanism. See the link <http://www.izak.co.za/ubuntu.pdf> In it you will see that secular humanism is a religion. It will also give a clear view of its standing as religion in the South African context. Some parts on the above publications are inserted here.

### **Secular Humanism**

Well, what is secular humanism really. Let`s take a quick look at the whole notion of Humanism then. Humanism is best expressed in two political documents, Humanist Manifesto I and II, both extremely new age in nature. Let`s take a look at some quotations from these documents: -

#### **Humanist Manifesto II**

***"First Authoritarian religions that place revelation, god, ritual, or creed above human needs and experience do a disservice to the human species"***

*"Sixth We believe that intolerant attitudes, often cultivated by orthodox religions and spiritual cultures, unduly repress sexual conduct. Moral education for children and adults is an important way of developing awareness in sexual maturity."*

*"Ninth: The separation of state and separation of ideology and state are imperatives"*

*"Twelfth We deplore division on nationalistic grounds... we look to the development of a system of world law and world order based on trans-national federal government... we thus reaffirm a commitment to the building of a world community..."*

***"Humanists still believe that traditional theism, especially faith in the prayer-hearing God, assumed to love and care for persons, to hear and understand their prayers, and to be able to do something about them, is an unproved and outmoded faith. Salvationism, based on mere affirmation, still appears as harmful, diverting people with false hopes of heaven thereafter..."***

Professor Harold J Berry, an authority on the subject says that 'The Humanist Manifesto I' states that: -

***"Humanism... does insist that the way to determine the existence of any and all realities is by means of intelligent inquiry and by the assessment of their relation to human needs"***

This, he says,

“is situation ethics - **that right and wrong depend on the situation. Everything is relative.**

John Whitehead says:

*“Under ‘relativism’, the concepts of truth and non-truth become blurred. As a consequence, people, once they accept relativism, by definition cannot know what the truth is. Instead, they can only guess as to the best course to follow. Thus, it becomes easier to sell non-truth to such a society”*

Professor Berry states further that: -

*“Secular Humanism is a closed system. As such, it will eventually seek to eliminate alternative viewpoints. The secular state wants no competition in the arena of ideas. Furthermore, let there be no misunderstanding. **Secular Humanists in key positions of leadership in government, media and the public education system will do all they can to stamp out biblical Christianity.**”*

Last year the author wrote a paper that circulate through the country following the ANC/SACP suggestion that S.A become a secular state: -

*It is therefore important that 'The Humanist Manifesto', not be confused with a 'Declaration of Human rights', as this will result in the situation where one religion is given license to breach the fundamental rights and freedoms of others. Moreover, it creates the possibility where religious beliefs can become doctrines in state policy and in law, which doctrines will lead to the oppression of others.*

This is amply supported by the fact that the believers in Secular Humanism have, *inter alia*, all but banned all writings of Creation Scientists from public schools, and instead propagate, only the theory of Evolution as if it were a fact. All evidence of Noah's Ark is also virtually banned from our educational institutions. Why? Why this selective propaganda?

### ***Secular Humanism ruled to be a religion in USA***

The United States Supreme Court ruled in 1961 in the case of *Torcaso v Watkins* that: -

***Secular Humanism is a religion.***



## **Spreading the Religion through GRADUALISM IN EDUCATION**

This process of secularization has found its major success in our education system.

It is no secret that our educational models are simply inexorably dragged along by the USA who are the leaders in the field. The USA's General Education Board set up and financed by John D Rockefeller has made its aims quite clear. Frederick T Gates revealed the Rockefeller philosophy in the boards "Occasional Letter, No 1": -

***"In our dreams we have limitless resources and the people yield themselves with perfect docility to our moulding hands. The present educational conventions fade from our minds, and unhampered by tradition, we work our own good will upon a grateful and responsive rural folk"***

In Gary Allen's 'En Route To Global Occupation' page 60 he writes: -

***"Dewey, who became known as "the father of progressive education" went on to influence the thinking of American educators more than any other individual. What few people today realize about Dewey is that he was one of America's leading atheists He wrote:***

***'There is no god and no soul. Hence, there are no needs for the props of traditional religion. With dogma and creed excluded, then immutable (unchangeable) truth is also dead and buried. There is no room for fixed natural law or permanent moral absolutes.'***

***"Traditional teachers, who had strongly resisted Deweyism were swamped by education propagandists backed by millions of Rockefeller-Carnegie dollars. The National education Association (NEA), the country's chief education lobby, was also being financed largely by the Rockefeller and Carnegie foundations. By 1934 the NEA adopted John Dewey's philosophy of humanism, socialism and globalism, and incorporated it into the classroom. It was interesting to learn that while all this was going on, Dewey managed to slip away to Russia for a time to help organize the Marxists educational system there."***

Today these philosophies are firmly entrenched in our schools too. Evolution is being pushed as a fact when in fact it is just a theory which is in fact in tatters. See

<http://www.creationscience.com/onlinebook/index.html>

**As was written in Protocol 9, page 42 of the famed Protocols of Zion**

***"We have fooled, bemused and corrupted the youth of the goyim by rearing them in principles and theories which are known to us to be false although it is by us that they have been inculcated"***

### **Overview of Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion**

The protocols of Zion were written by the Jesuits in order to facilitate the extermination of orthodox Jewry. Why? Because orthodox rabbis have always been able to easily expose the heresies preached by the Jesuits. Another war was needed in Europe in order to create a crisis from which they could build their tower of Babel, the United Nations. The previous attempt lay in the ruins of the League of Nations. What better way that to ferment the whole Jewish domination theory, get some Masons to murder Ferdinand and have these troublesome Jews out of the way at the instance of the leader that had just signed a concordat with them. Adolf Hitler. No wonder the hearings that exposed this remain well hidden in the archives of the Vatican and in Swiss banking vaults.

The version of the Protocols to which I refer is entitled "World Conquest through World Government" by Victor E. Marsden, which was put out by Britons Publishing Company in 1969. For the sake of simplicity and brevity I shall quote only the protocol number and page number when making references. The terms 'goyim' in these Protocols seems to refer to all those who are not part of the 'Learned and wise Elders of Zion'.

### **Banned due to controversy**

It appears that the 'Protocols' were first unbanned and then banned again. I am not sure as to whether they are now, under the freedom of expression clause of the New Constitution, deemed to be unbanned. If in fact they are still banned then I would like to know the reasons for this.

The controversy over the anti-semitism of the Protocols is so extensive and well publicized especially in the 'Victor Marsden' version of the Protocols, that it can possibly still be a reason for them being banned. There are countless books such as The 'Myth of the Jewish Menace in World Affairs or the truth About the Forged Protocols of the Elders of Zion', by Lucien Wolf, published by Macmillan, in 1921 support this.

Then there are the 7 odd volumes of writings by the Ex-Jesuit Priest, Alberto Riviera, put out through Chick Publications in California, which claim that the Protocols were in fact written by the Jesuits.

Moreover, given the statements made about Freemasons in the protocols it is surprising that there is no controversy over that. What with the copious exposures on the Masonic brotherhood by overseas publishers, one would have thought that the brotherhood have a right to know about some of the matters which I shall highlight later on in this overview.

The controversies of the Protocols seem to be so diverse as to make the issue of controversy a non-issue issue. There must therefore be some other reason for banning them. The question is, what is it?

It may be significant to note that the Protocols are only banned in Communist Countries and in South Africa. If our Constitution is modeled on countries where the Protocols are not banned then it follows that they should become un-banned here, unless of course we are considered Communist.

### **Remarkably accurate**

The key to the question posed may lie in Communism or some other Political philosophy wanting to protect itself from an exposure of its real aims. One thing is for sure, the protocols are quite obviously written with a rather remarkable kind of insight and planning.

Given the astounding fulfillments of the Protocols, in particular, with the advent of the Provisional World Government in 1989, with its Constitution for the Federation of Earth, and the flood of information being released on Globalism, Secular Humanism, etc., I cannot see why such an obviously relevant document, remains hidden, especially as it is by now so old.

Way back on the 7th of November 1957, The Earl of Gosford, Joint Parliamentary Under-Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, said in the House of Lords said: -

***"Her Majesty's Government are fully in agreement with World government. We agree that this must be the goal, and that every step that is humanly possible must be taken to reach that goal.***

***All over the World, 'federation', 'integration', 'regionalisation', and 'interdependence' are the order of the day. All this is foreshadowed in the Protocols, published more than a half a century ago by Sergyei Nilus, which, we are told are a forgery.***

***Can all this be coincidence? Could any forger be so prescient?"***

On August 27 1921 Lord Sydenham wrote in the "Spectator"

***"Nothing that was written in 1865 can have any bearing upon the deadly accuracy of the forecasts in the Protocols, most of which have been fulfilled to the letter"***

(I submit that there is now virtually no question that this still applies even more forcefully today)

Are people being kept in the dark?

The argument that the public are to be kept in the dark as to what is really going on seems to gain an enormous strength in the light of the above.

This gives the appearance that there is a governmental doctrine of 'keeping people in the dark and ignorant of the real facts'. A propaganda of selective education so to speak.

Perhaps the Government should then release this Government held information under Section 23 of the New Constitution.

Be that as it may, I cannot see how the Protocols could remain banned, especially now that the cat is so openly out of the bag insofar the Provisional World Government is concerned.

### **The protocols on religion**

Protocol 4 page 31 states:

**"This is the reason why it is indispensable for us to undermine all faith, to tear out of the minds of the goyim the very principal of Godhead and the spirit, and to put in its place arithmetic calculations and material needs"**

Now we know why all evidence for creation and anything that would corroborate the Bible is being kept away from schools and universities. Why prayer in schools is being curtailed. Why the Buddhism nihilism and secular humanism is being pushed. The people that have been allowed to take control of these aspects are nothing but a bunch of religious fanatics. A real religious fanatic is one that will do he can to force his faith upon others. Christians just profess their faith. You are left to make your own decisions. This lot uses every dirty trick in the book to stifle evidence that does not suite them, exaggerate evidence that appears to back their cause, manipulate situations, and yes even to **brainwash** people, and if all else fails, to make draconian laws to force their fanatical viewpoint onto others. They need to breed more of their own kind so as to gain greater impetus for their desperate attempts at escaping the inevitable accounting they will need to make to their creator.

# BRAINWASHING

Brainwashing is not a new concept. It was used **during both World Wars, Korea, Vietnam, The Gulf War**, extensively in the **USSR**, and in the context set out in the heading to this report in the South West Africa (**SWA**) **Boarder War** conducted by South Africa in the 70's and 80'. It is used extensively today by various agencies such as the **Tri Lateral Commission (TLC)**, **The Council on Foreign Relations (CFR)** and various UN agencies such as the **United Nations Peace Corps (UN PC)** in order to assist with **brokering peace amongst conflicting nations and communities, fostering democratisation in authoritarian regimes, and in counteracting religious extremism**. It is conducted through various mediums such as **direct contact, training camps, media exposure, radio broadcasts, religious services, primary, secondary and tertiary education** and even **Biological Aids** (mostly added to drinking water, foodstuffs and medication. The Science of **Social Automation Technology** is highly developed today and makes use of extensive computer hardware and software that was developed both in the **Nato and the Warsaw** Countries. In fact, the **USSR** are leaders in fields such as **Computer-Generated Emotional Mind Mapping**.

Just prior to the 1994 elections the national media<sup>7</sup> disclosed how Dr. **Johan Van Der Westhuizen** was running an MI front organisation called **Adult Education Consultants (AEC)** in Soweto under the orders of **Joffel Van der Westhuizen (MI chief at that stage)** and **Tertius Delport, Minister of Agriculture in the Western Cape**. This group was to install (through *Adult Education*) a **cultural shift in the community that would bring about a drop in township unrest in order to ensure a smooth transition of power to the ANC**. Van Der Westhuizen had also infiltrated the African Christian Democratic Party<sup>8</sup> which was to serve as the future home of the NP under a moderate black leadership, that would be coaxed into the Masonic Fraternity in order to ensure *cohesion and security of the central mandate* (especially once the massive overseas funding planned for the project got underway).

During the South African Boarder War, National Servicemen that were selected for duty in **Military Intelligence** were screened at **Voortrekker Hoogte** (where they did their security Clearances) and were then sent to **Grootfontein** in SWA where further screening took place. They then underwent a training course in **Windhoek** and later a more intense course at **Hartswater** in southern SWA. Once it was determined that they were able to successfully conduct training (brainwashing) they were sent to operational area headquarters (such as at **Katimo Mulilo in the Caprivi Strip** in northern SWA where it borders on Zambia, Botswana and Zimbabwe (Rhodesia then). Here they ran **Agricultural Training Seminars** which were designed as **workshops**. Community leaders were first studied and then hand-picked for the training workshops / seminars (in reality highly researched and successful brainwashing sessions). At the seminars they were taught, **life skills, skills in conflict management, stereotype**

---

1 <sup>7</sup>Weekly Mail and Guardian February 1994

2 <sup>8</sup>BAM v ACDP Supreme Court Johannesburg Case No 94/25886

**reduction, social management skills** in a very subtle yet highly manipulative interactive, **perform and be rewarded type of workshop environment seminars.**

In 1983 for example, the officer in charge of the **Katimo Mulilo Group** was **Mc Sweeny**, assisted by psychiatrist **Costallo**.

### **Training Manual No. TM-SW7905.1**

Typical of the manuals developed for this type of operation was **Training Manual No. TM-SW7905.1**<sup>9</sup> This manual is **entitled Silent Weapons for Quite Wars**, Therein the science of **Social Automation Technology** is succinctly set out complete with **Tables of Strategies** and a **list of Inputs and Outputs**: -

Under the **Historical Introduction** we read that **Silent Weapon Technology** evolved from **Operations Research (O.R)**, a strategic and tactical methodology developed under **Military Management** in England during WW II...It was soon recognised by the **Council on Foreign Relations** as an *effective means of controlling society*.

In the **Formal Introduction** we read the following: -

*The solution to today's problems requires an approach that is ruthlessly candid, with no agonising over religious moral or cultural values”, and as such must be ‘secured from public scrutiny’.*

Under the heading, **Descriptive Introduction of the Silent Weapon** we read: -

*Everything that is expected from an ordinary weapon is expected from a silent weapon by it's creators, but only in it's own manner of junctioning*

*It shoots situations instead of bullets, propelled by data processing, instead of a chemical reaction, (explosion); originating from bits of data instead of grains of gunpowder, from a computer instead of a gun; operated by a computer programmer instead of a marksman, under the orders of a banking magnate instead of a general.*

*It makes no obvious explosive noises, causes no obvious physical or mental injuries, and does not obviously interfere with anyone's daily social life.*

*Yet it makes an unmistakable “noise”, causes unmistakable physical and mental damage, and unmistakably interferes with daily social life, i.e., unmistakable to the trained observer, one who knows what to look for.*

---

3 <sup>9</sup>Intercepted in 1979 by **The Agency For Joint Intelligence Newsletters**. William Cooper (Ex USA Navy Intelligence), Camp Verde, Arizona, 86322, USA, Tel (602) 567-636,00 / 00 / 00, Daily Update (602) 1-900-535-9800 ext 240, Computer BBS (602) 567-6725, Hotline (213) 281-8222,000000, Orders (602) 567 6109 Research Center (602) 567 6536.

*The public cannot comprehend that they are being attacked by a weapon, and therefore cannot believe that they are being subdued by a weapon.*

*The public might instinctively feel that something is wrong, but because of the technical nature of the silent weapon they cannot express their feeling in a rational way, or handle the problem with intelligence. Therefore, they do not know how to cry for help, and do not know how to associate with others to defend themselves against it.*

*When a silent weapon is applied gradually, the public adjusts / adapts to its presence and learns to tolerate its encroachment on their lives...*

*Therefore, the silent weapon is a type of biological warfare. It attacks the vitality, options, and mobility of the individuals of society by knowing, understanding, manipulating, and attacking their resources of natural and social energy, and their physical and mental, and emotional strengths and weaknesses.*

In **preparation of the area targeted for the application of the Silent Weapon** a programs of studying the **communities habit patterns** is undertaken under a list of **Inputs** (surveillance) and **Outputs** (tailored on the findings of the surveillance) which covers inter alia the following headings: -

### **Inputs**

#### *General Sources of information*

1. *telephone taps*
2. *surveillance*
3. *analysis of garbage*
4. *behaviour of children*

#### *Standard of living by:*

1. *Food*
2. *Clothing*
3. *Shelter*
4. *Transportation*

#### *Social Contacts*

1. *Telephone - itemised record of calls*
2. *family - marriage certificates, birth certificates etc.*
3. *friends, associates, etc.*
4. *membership in organisations*
5. *political affiliation*

### **THE PERSONAL PAPER TRAIL**

#### *Personal buying habits, i.e., personal consumer preferences*

1. *Checking accounts*
2. *Credit Credit-card purchases*
3. *"tagged" credit card purchases - the credit purchase of products bearing the UPC (universal product Code)*

**Assets:**

1. *Checking accounts*
2. *savings accounts*
3. *real estate*
4. *business*
5. *automobile etc.*
6. *safety deposit at bank*
7. *stock market*

**Liabilities**

1. *creditors*
2. *enemies (see-legal)*
3. *loans*
4. *consumer credit*

**Government sources (ploys)\***

1. *welfare*
2. *social security*
3. *surplus food*
4. *doles*
5. *grants*
6. *subsidies*

*\* Principal of this ploy - the citizen will almost always make the collection of information easy if he can operate on the principal of the "free sandwich principal" of "eat now, and pay later"*

**Government sources (via intimidation)**

1. *Internal revenue service*
2. *OSHA*
3. *Census*
4. *etc.*

*Other government sources - surveillance of US mail.*

**Habit Patterns - Programming**

**Strengths and weaknesses,**

1. *activities (sports, hobbies etc.)*
2. *see "legal" (fear anger, etc. - crime record)*
3. *hospital records (drug sensitivities, reaction to pain, etc.)*
4. *psychiatric records (fears, angers, disgusts, adaptability, reactions to stimuli, violence, suggestibility or hypnosis, pain, pleasure, love and sex)*

**Methods of Coping - of adaptability - behaviour**

1. *consumption of alcohol*
2. *consumption of drugs*
3. *entertainment religious factors influencing behaviour*
4. *other methods of escaping from reality*



*Payment modus operandi,*

1. *payment of telephone bills*
2. *energy purchases (electricity, gas etc.)*
3. *water purchases*
4. *repayment of loans*
5. *house payments*
6. *automobile payments*
7. *payments on credit cards*

*Political sensitivity*

1. *beliefs*
2. *contacts*
3. *position*
4. *strengths/weaknesses*
5. *projects/activities*

*Legal inputs - behavioural control (excuses for investigation, search, arrest, or employment of force to modify behaviour)*

1. *court records*
2. *police records - NCIC*
3. *driving record*
4. *reports made to police*
5. *insurance information*
6. *antiestablishment acquaintances*

**NATIONAL INPUT INFORMATION**

*Business sources (via I.R.S., etc.)*

1. *prices of commodities*
2. *sales*
3. *investments in*
  - a. *stocks / inventory*
  - b. *production tools and machinery*
  - c. *buildings and improvements*
  - d. *the stock markets*

*Banks and credit bureaus*

1. *credit information*
2. *payment information*

*Miscellaneous sources:*

3. *polls and surveys*
4. *publications*
5. *telephone records*
6. *energy and utility purchases*

## SHORT LIST OF OUTPUTS

*Outputs - create controlled situations - manipulation of economy, hence society - control by control of compensation and income*

*Sequence:*

- 7. allocates opportunities*
- 8. destroys opportunities*
- 9. controls the economic environment*
- 10. controls the availability of raw materials*
- 11. controls capital*
- 12. controls bank rates*
- 13. controls the inflation rate*
- 14. controls the possession of property*
- 15. controls industrial capacity*
- 16. controls manufacturing*
- 17. controls the availability of goods*
- 18. controls the prices of commodities*
- 19. controls services, the labour force, etc.*
- 20. controls payments to government officials*
- 21. controls the legal functions*
- 22. controls the personal data files - uncorrectable by the party slandered.*
- 23. controls advertising*
- 24. controls media contact*
- 25. controls material available for TV viewing*
- 26. disengages attention from real issues*
- 27. engages emotions*
- 28. creates disorder, chaos, and insanity*
- 29. controls design of more probing tax forms*
- 30. controls surveillance*
- 31. controls the storage of information*
- 32. develops psychological analysis and profiles of individuals*
- 33. controls legal functions (repeat of 15)*
- 34. controls sociological factors*
- 35. controls health options*
- 36. preys on weaknesses*
- 37. cripples strengths*
- 38. leaches wealth and substance*

## TABLE OF STRATEGIES

*Do this*

*Keep the public ignorant*

*Maintain access control to point of feedback*

*Create preoccupation*

*Attach the family unit Control*

*less cash and more credit and doles*

*To get this*

*Less public organisation*

*Require reaction to outputs (prices sales)*

*Lower defenses*

*of the education of the young*

*Give More self indulgence and more data*

<i>Attack the privacy of the church</i>	<i>Destroy faith in this sort of government</i>
<i>Social conformity</i>	<i>Computer programming simplicity</i>
<i>Minimise the tax protest</i>	<i>economic data, minimum problems</i>
<i>enforcement</i>	<i>Minimum coefficients</i>
<i>stabilise the consent</i>	<i>Simpler computer input data - greater predictability</i>
<i>Tighten control of variables</i>	<i>simplicity / solutions of different</i>
<i>Establish boundary conditions</i>	<i>Problem</i>
<i>differential and equations</i>	
<i>Proper timing</i>	<i>less data shift and blurring</i>
<i>Maximise control</i>	<i>minimum resistance to control</i>
<i>Collapse of currency</i>	<i>destroy the faith of people in each other</i>

The techniques employed vary from case to case but follow a basic sociological / psychological approach, perhaps best described by the following: -

### ***DIVERSION THE PRIMARY STRATEGY***

*Experience has proven that the SIMPLEST METHOD of securing a silent weapon and gaining control of the public is to KEEP THE PUBLIC UNDISCIPLINED AND IGNORANT of the basic systems principals on the one hand, WHILE KEEPING THEM CONFUSED, DISORGANISED, AND DISTRACTED, with matters of no real importance on the other hand.*

#### ***This is achieved by***

- I. Disengaging their minds; sabotaging their mental activities; providing a low-quality program of public education in mathematics, logic, systems design and economics; and discouraging technical creativity.*
- II. Engaging their emotions, increasing their self-indulgence and their indulgence in emotional and physical activities, by:*
  - a. unrelenting emotional affrontations and attacks (mental and emotional rape) by way of a constant barrage of sex, violence, and wars in the media - especially the TV and newspapers.*
  - b. giving them what they desire - in excess - "junk food for thought" - and depriving them of what they really need*
  - c. REWRITING HISTORY and LAW and SUBJECTING THE PUBLIC TO THE DEVIANT CREATION, thus being able to SHIFT THEIR THINKING from personal needs to highly fabricated outside priorities*

*These preclude their interest in and discovery of the **SILENT WEAPONS OF SOCIAL AUTOMATION.***

*The general rule is that there is profit in confusion, the more confusion the more profit. Therefore, the best approach is to create problems and then offer the solutions.*

The Harvard Economic Research Project of 1948 forms the basis of the Economic Model employed in the manual, which divides people into three Industrial Classes i.e.:

1. *Capital (resources)*
2. *Goods (commodities or use - dissipate)*
3. *Services (action of population)*
  - a. *nature sources of energy and raw materials*
  - b. *Government - printing of currency equal to gross national product*

Extensive Industrial Diagrams accompany the manual, all of which cannot be included in this report.

As to the detailed approach used in training (brainwashing) seminars, the following should suffice to describe the basic approach model employed. Once again, this varies slightly from case to case: -

### ***Mind Control Apotheosis***

The USA expository newsletter Mainstream<sup>10</sup> provided extracts from a 200-page document entitled ***Apotheosis Top Secret*** which exposed how government officials were able to set about shifts in belief patterns in society. Here is a brief outline of the approach to be used: -

1. ***Work slowly and gently. Establish common ground between subject and brain washer affirming present beliefs to generate an atmosphere of trust and fellowship***
2. ***Isolate the subject from anything that reinforces his present beliefs, while at the same time immersing the subject in the new belief environment. Allow no time for thought or reflection - this can be done by constant companionship between subject and brain washer***
3. ***Generate a deeper emotional alliance between subject and brain washers. Develop belonging and companionship.***
4. ***Increase emotional alliance while presenting new beliefs in ways that do not directly trigger contrast or argument.***
5. ***Begin to dismantle the old beliefs by playful mockery and introduce camouflaged version of new beliefs.***

---

4 <sup>10</sup>Mainstream Newsletter Address P O Box 1850, Kempton Park, 1620 (South African office) published by P Tillen o Banner ministries UK

6. ***Suggest the old belief is no longer valid or useful, and is subservient to the new belief which has now superseded it, while immersing subject in a sense of just cause.***
7. ***Remove subjects last connections and habits relating to the old belief using sleep deprivation, changes in diet, new life patterns and emotional dependency.***
8. ***When subject repeatedly shows allegiance to new belief, begin direct teaching - do not mention the old belief or refer to it.***
9. ***When subject shows understanding and acceptance of the naked, undisguised new belief, challenge the old belief and overthrow it.***
10. ***The brainwashing process is now complete, and the subject will now filter experiences in a manner that reinforces the new belief. Thus, it becomes a self-perpetuating process.***

What is of course not mentioned in the above is that those who refuse to fall for this then become isolated in the community that has been successfully brainwashed and their children who are forced to interact with the community through schooling are then brainwashed through relentless school activities and turned against the parents. Then next generation is then secured even if all of the present cannot.

### ***South African Law***

Secular Humanism finds expression as UBUNTU in South Africa and it has subtly been made the official state religion of South Africa. The definition of Ubuntu is: -

***I am because we are. We are because I am.***

God is excluded from that formula. This is secular humanism in its purest form. For a detailed discussion of this aspect see

<http://www.izak.co.za/ubuntu.pdf>

### ***International Agreements***

In Section 82(1) of the IC the President is given power to sign international agreements and in Section 231(2) provision for their ratification in Parliament is made, and then it states: -

***"...such international agreements shall be binding on the republic and from part of the law of the republic."***

Well, unbeknown to those Christians at the first march in may the issue was already decided in January - it was already law. let me explain. My attention

was drawn to an article in the Sunday Tribune of 29 January 1995 in which it was stated that S.A agreed with India that it was secular and to fight and condemn religious extremism. I contacted the Department of Foreign Affairs to obtain a copy of the agreement. First they gave me another one which was signed the same day but said nothing of the issues reported by the media . Later I went there personally and found it. It was listed as follows: -

## **INDIA**

**Trade Agreement between the Government of the Republic of South Africa and the Government of the Republic of India.  
Signed : 22.8.1994  
Entry into force : 22.8.1994**

As it turned out the terms relating to religious issues are hidden in under an agreement with what looks like a benign title. See picture overleaf.

**AGREEMENT**  
**BETWEEN**  
**THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA**  
**AND**  
**THE GOVERNMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF INDIA**  
**ON THE INTER-GOVERNMENTAL JOINT COMMISSION**  
**FOR POLITICAL, TRADE, ECONOMIC, CULTURAL,**  
**SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL COOPERATION.**

Now look at what the agreement states: -

***"The High Contracting Parties"... recognise that they share the ideals of peace, democracy and secular governance. Having resolved to fight against apartheid, racial discrimination and religious fundamentalism."* (emph. added)**

and in article six

***"the High Contracting Parties condemn all forms of hatred, violence, fundamentalism and religious extremism."***

(Underlining added)

### **Official definition and categorisation of "Fundamentalists"**

In the *Journal of Theology For Southern Africa* of December 1989 volume 69 entitled "Right Wing Religious Movements" it was made very clear that literal Bible believing Christians and organisation such as **Campus Crusade, Jimmy Swaggert Ministries, Christian Mission International, Frontline Fellowship, United Christian Action, Bet-El Ministries**, were all **Fundamentalist Militant far right** , unwanted, obstructionist etc.

Janet Reno, the attorney General of the USA defined cultists after the WACO incident. See if you fit the Bill because you are a cultist if you:-

- 1. Believe in the literal truth of the Bible**
- 2. Believe in the immanent return of Christ**
- 3. Frequent Bible studies**
- 4. Give to Christian causes**
- 5. Mistrust big government**
- 6. Own a firearm**

### **A New World Order**

Moreover, our Interim Constitution of South Africa states in the preamble; -

***'whereas there is a need for a new order..'***

What is this? A modern tower of Babel? For a concise description of this new order (World) one could hardly refer to a better book than '*En Route to Global Occupation*' by Gary Kah, a high ranking USA Government liaison, winner of myriads of scholastic awards and listed as one of the Who's Who of Emerging Leaders in America.

The essence of the book is that there is in existence "A New World Order" in such an advanced stage of planning, precisely accurate in relation to the aims of the Illuminati, being fostered by their foot soldiers, the Masons.

Our leaders all speak freely of "the New World Order" and it is even subtly referred to in the preamble of our interim Constitution which states that there is "a need for a new order". President George Bush gave it clearest vent on January 29 1991 when he gave his state of the union address in which he said: -

***"We are Americans: part of something larger than ourselves.. What is at stake is more than one small country; it is a big idea: a New World***

**Order**, where diverse nations are drawn together in common cause to achieve the universal aspirations of mankind....

The world can therefore seize this opportunity to fulfill the long-held promise of a **New World Order**...

yes the United States bears a major share of the leadership in this effort"

Minister Pik Botha in debate with Thabo Mbeki on the TV program Agenda, just before the elections, repeatedly told Mr. Mbeki that

**"the New World Order will not allow that"**

President Mandela's address to the Joint Houses of Congress in the USA after his two week visit with David Rockefeller was described as

**"the best description of the new World Order we have listened to yet".**

When Mandela returned to the RSA he openly gave his support to the New World Order.

At the Progressive Governance summit held on October 14, 2004 Thabo Mbeki said

*With the threat of global terrorism, unilateralism, poverty and under development, the leaders will caucus with the aim of reaching a common understanding on what needs to be done to bring about a much desired **new world order**.*



Way back in 1933 the USA one dollar bill proclaimed it as "Announcing the inception of the New order which is secular".



### **World Constitution and Parliament Association (WCPA) and Provisional World Government (PWG)**

Politicians such as Buthelezi, Tutu and some Chief justices were among the International Honorary founding sponsors of the Provisional World Government when it was still known as the World Constitution and Parliament Association (WCPA).

On 1 January 1995 the WCPA released its manifesto stating:

Please note that this text is protected by international intellectual property rights and that you submit yourself to such rights by opening and reading this document




***"Acting on behalf of all inhabitants of earth, we hereby take possession of all the oceans and seabeds of earth... thus comprising at least 70% of earth for the beginning of World Government."***

A ***"World Disarmament Agency"*** was formed. Authorised lines of credit in earth Dollars were initiated at one billion dollars per million of population. In this report Phillip Isely admitted that third world countries would find it hard to go against the IMF and World Bank wishes. PWG divided the world into 10 regions exactly according to the Club of Rome's map in line with Daniel's 10 toes in his image and the 10 kings in Revelations 17. 10 Kings can be seen over the EU official ad.

In 1989 The Provisional World Government was formed subsequent to about two decades of activity by the World Constitution and Parliament Association of which Chief Minister Mangasuthu Buthelezi, Bishop Tutu, Dennis Brutus, and various other dignitaries including Chief Justices, Presidents, Kings, Dictators of every country in the world are all honorary founding sponsors. (See copy of letter overleaf.)

The PWG adopted the Club of Rome's map dividing the world into 10 regions of which the South African Development Community (SADC) is region 4, all in



deadly accuracy of the prophecies of Daniel in the Old Testament and those of John's in the Book of Revelations in the New Testament of the Bible. (See map below).



**PROVISIONAL  
WORLD  
GOVERNMENT**

(This letter, individually addressed, has been sent to the Presidents, Prime Ministers, Kings, Queens, Sultans, and other Heads of Governments of all countries on Earth.)

Tel/Fax: 303-526-6904, Attn. WI

Including the PROVISIONAL WORLD PARLIAMENT  
1480 Hoyt St., Suite 31 / Lakewood, CO 80215 USA / 303-233-3

10th February, 1989

Organized under THE  
CONSTITUTION FOR THE  
FEDERATION OF EARTH

Serving until the  
next session of the  
PROVISIONAL  
WORLD PARLIAMENT

WORLD PRESIDUM

Dr. Tarance P. Amerasingha  
Sri Lanka  
Margaret Isely  
USA

Hon. Shattima Ali Monguno  
Nigeria

SP-4 Ratansh Rajda, M.P.  
India

Ing. Belshart Ruge  
Mexico

CABINET MINISTERS

EDUCATION

Dr. Tarance P. Amerasingha

EMERGENCY EARTH

RESCUE

Philip Isely

ENVIRONMENT

Dr. Arthur Mayan

FINANCE

Philip Isely

HUMAN RIGHTS

Dr. Roy Johnston

RATIFICATION

Han Awadhesh Singh, M.P.

WORLD CITIZENSHIP

Helen Tucker

Other Cabinet Ministers  
in process of formation

WORLD TREASURY

Served by World Government  
Funding Corporation



Your Excellencies

Presidents, Prime Ministers, Kings, Queens, Sultans,  
and other Heads of Governments of All Countries on Earth

Your Excellency and Decision Makers:

We wish to report a successful first meeting of the Preparatory Committee for the World Constituent Assembly, which is to convene at the end of 1990. We mentioned this meeting to you in our previous letters appealing for World Patriots, under dates of July 5, August 18, and September 1, 1988. The meeting was held in the Sky Garden room of the St. Moritz Hotel in New York, on 19 and 20th November, 1988.

A feature of the meeting was a special luncheon on November 19, attended by the United Nations Ambassadors or Deputy Ambassadors for twenty countries. At the luncheon, the plan for the World Constituent Assembly to convene near the end of 1990 was fully explained, and the draft of the Constitution for the Federation of Earth was given to each one present. Special emphasis was given to the problem of global climate change. A report on this first meeting of the Preparatory Committee was later sent to the Ambassadors at the United Nations of all countries, including your

In this fourth letter to Heads of Governments, appealing for action by World Patriots, we wish to give particular attention to the problem of climate change, which has been widely publicized during the past two years. Contrary to most warming predictions, however, there are very good reasons, as well as extensive scientific documentation and reports in the news, to believe that a different scenario is most likely, and therefore different strategy required to deal with the problem.

First, we will summarize the most likely sequence of events, and then analyze the situation in greater detail:

The much reported slight increase in average global temperature means very little. Any scientist should suspect gross error when basing predictions on the averaging of extremely variable temperatures of different latitudes. Rather than a general global warming, there is already evident and documented both a warming at lower latitudes and a cooling at higher latitudes. The cooling originates from increased cloud cover because of moisture-laden air moving polewards. The sun's rays will not get down long enough to melt the ice caps. The sea levels are not likely to rise. Lowlands are not likely to get flooded. Grain growing and agricultural production cannot shift to higher latitudes because increased snow cover and shorter growing seasons will make crop failures more and more certain.

*"Let Us Raise A Standard To Which The Wise And The Honest Can Repair!"*

Indeed, numerous similar documents set out how the New World Order is to be ushered in, via the establishment of a one world religion .

In 1996 the Constitutional Court upheld a ruling that the Bible has nothing to do with a church's laws.



In March 1996 the injectable smart card made by Mondex (ICBM 666) controlled by a computer called "The Beast" was tested on 80 women in the First National Bank in South Africa. "Oh man how easily thou art led astray!"




The 10 Kingdoms (each as a pentagram) are clearly set out in this EU overleaf. Note that the picture is taken from the famous painting of the tower of Babel (where God split us into different races because of our rebellion against His supreme rule. Then as now, attempts were made to untie the world against God's authority and will).

Time gets to the point when it puts a woman on the beast in an article entitled "**Europe: How fast? How far?**".

With the Vatican Bank in control of World finances, what with producing 5 Bil \$ clear profit minimum a week (see Avro Manhattan's the 'Vatican Billions', 'The Washington Moscow Vatican Alliance', 'In Gods name' by Yallop, 'All roads lead to Rome', 'The Secret History of the Jesuits' etc.) it is clear who the whore of Revelations 17 is.

In the latest Catholic Catechism, the Pope says that the NWO is not only possible but necessary. Moreover, he says all religions, no matter what they are must fall under him as salvation is only through him. (See new Catholic catechism)



The Council of Europe's decision to employ the Tower of Babel in this poster as the central motif symbolizing their efforts to build the European suprapstate is a telling oiprice. It would appear to be an open statement of defiance to God, particularly considering that the stars have been intentionally inverted to form

On the 10th of February 1989 a body called the '**Provisional World Government**' was established which has a Globalist Secular Humanist



constitution which relies on the withdrawal of international credit for its ratification.

Therefore, the New World Order's aims become ours, simply because we have agreed to them and thereby incorporated them into our law. Mammon which runs the New World Order therefore dictates. The existence of the NWO is not even debatable any more. As illustrated most politicians openly propagate the idea and aims of the New World Order.

### **The Genesis flood and the cover-up of the ark at Ararat**

Section to be completed

### ***Is there life in outer space***

Those who believe life exists on distant planets usually base that belief on the following reasoning:

***Life evolved on Earth. Because the universe is so immense and contains so many heavenly bodies, life probably evolved on other planets as well.***

This reasoning is flawed. First, it assumes life evolved on Earth. Overwhelming evidence shows life is so complex it could not have evolved—anywhere!

But if there was a creator there is. At least the Bible says so. God is out there, so are his angels (two thirds of a host which is innumerable) and those that were thrown out of heaven. Some of them even took of the women of men and had offspring described as men of renown in Genesis 6. These Nephilim had to be destroyed as they were utterly wicked continually and Noah ended up the only one “pure in his generations”. We are told that as it was in the days of Noah so it shall be at the end. TV certain appears to be conditioning us for this.

### ***Latest legal actions***

In August 1999, the Kansas State Board of Education voted, to drop any mention of evolution from its statewide science curricula standards, despite the fact that the draft standards submitted to the Board by a 27-member panel of science educators concluded that a basic understanding of evolution was vital for a proper science education. Although the action did not ban Kansas instructors from teaching students about evolution, it did mandate that students not be tested on their knowledge of evolution in statewide achievement tests. Since most school districts tailor their instruction curriculum to the requirements of the statewide tests, dropping evolution would have the effect of insuring that many teachers would not bring the subject up in class.

Several other states have introduced "disclaimers" which must be inserted into biology textbooks, declaring that evolution is "only a theory"

The latest effort is being made in Dover, Pennsylvania, where a fundamentalist Christian grouping within the school board has been successful in introducing intelligent design "theory" into the science classroom.

### ***Latest news***

#### **Evolutionists Ignore Kansas Hearings. WHY?**

May 16, 2005

Dr. Philip Skell – a chemist of some renown at Penn State – wrote an open letter (May 12, 2005) to Dr. Steve Abrams, Chair of the Kansas State Board of Education, saying in part, "I am writing – as a member of the National Academy of Sciences – to voice my strong support for the idea that students should be able to study scientific criticisms of the evidence for modern evolutionary theory along with the evidence favoring the theory. None of the great discoveries

.....  
in biology and medicine over the past century depended on guidance from Darwinian evolution – it provided no support." <sup>1</sup>

In a puzzling development in the confrontational Kansas School Board hearing regarding the teaching of alternatives to evolutionism, it was announced that some secular science organizations would snub the proceedings.

This is strange because if evolutionism (i.e. macroevolution, or the "particles-to-people" theory) was as sound as its proponents maintain, it would seem Darwinists would embrace the opportunity to use the Kansas hearings as a sounding board to list evolution's scientific facts.

Secular science groups such as the evolution-based American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) declined to enter into the Kansas deliberations, while the anti-creation group, Kansas Citizens for Science called for a boycott, claiming the hearing was "rigged."

CNN.com reports that board members were quite rightly frustrated because the Kansas Board of Education hearings were to be seen "as an educational forum." Indeed, what better way to expose students to the topic of origins, as well as the democratic process?

CNN quoted Connie Morris, a Kansas board member as saying, "I would have enjoyed hearing what they [the evolutionists] have to say in a professional, ethical manner." <sup>2</sup>

Both creation scientists and those skeptical of macroevolution's claims are suspicious regarding this development. Could it be that when macroevolution is critically viewed, it will be seen only as

minor changes greatly extrapolated beyond what science can observe?

1. Skell, Philip. 2005. *An Open Letter to Dr. Steve E. Abrams and the Kansas State Board of Education*. Discovery Institute News, [d=view&id=2568&program=CSC%20-  
http://www.discovery.org/scripts/viewDB/index.php?command=](http://www.discovery.org/scripts/viewDB/index.php?command=view&id=2568&program=CSC%20-%20Views%20and%20News.html)  
[%20Views%20and%20News.html](http://www.discovery.org/scripts/viewDB/index.php?command=view&id=2568&program=CSC%20-%20Views%20and%20News.html).
2. Associated Press. 2005. Scientists snub Kansas evolution hearings, *CNN.com*, May 13, 2005. [on.ap/index.html](http://www.cnn.com/2005/EDUCATION/05/08/kansas.evoluti).<http://www.cnn.com/2005/EDUCATION/05/08/kansas.evoluti>

### **Mr. Paps**

One night in the early 1990's whilst at sea in the Yacht Sweet Waters with a load of Bibles for an Island off the African coast, the story of Mr. Paps was told by Texxe Marrs in his nightly world-wide short wave broadcast.

The gist of it is as follows: -

Mr. Paps, a PI from Pennsylvania, had picked up a small rock somewhere that had a Coke bottle top in it.

See, rock can form rather quickly. Any farmer will tell you that certain types of soil not properly prepared at certain times of year will result in a field of stones.

The Methodist Church in Wakkerstroom was build from "Ou Klip" (old Stone). A certain type of clay formation was identified and then pieces were cut from it in the shape of the building blocks that were required. These were then left to dry in the sun for a few months. Then they are used for building. The result is a very sound and attractive stone building.

Well, Paps took the rock to the forensics department, had it split into segments and keeping the one with the bottle top protruding from it, he had the rest sent away for dating analysis. He did not want to give any clues other than the rock sample itself, of course. Being a PI, everyone thought he was busy investigating another criminal intrigue.

Depending on what dating technique was used and by which testing center, the results came filtering in. The rock samples had been dated and found to be so and so many years old etc. The problem is that the results ranged from 200,000 years to 200 million years for the age for what was actually all of the same material.

It was then that Mr. Paps placed a one-page (\$80.00) application before the High Court. Since he had proof (and the dating techniques had been accepted

as proof in that court before), that Coca Cola had existed at least 200,000 years ago, their patent was clearly invalid and they should therefore be ordered to release details of their patent and recipe etc.

When the legal team of Coca Cola turned up *en masse*, Paps declared that he wished not to get caught up in was promising to be a battled between the testing scientists and Coca cola as he could not afford the court costs and therefore *if it pleased the honourable court he would abide the court's decision, whatever that turned out to be.*

Coca Cola went on to spend some \$13 mil on the case. After exhaustive cross examinations of an extremely technical and purely scientific nature, they proved a few salient points: -

1. That all the dating techniques used (and Paps used them all) were spurious.
2. Moreover, they proved that the dating techniques were designed and funded by certain organizations for the sole purpose of giving credibility to the theory of evolution.
3. The testing techniques in fact had limited use as the accuracy became progressively more exceeded 50 years.

Coca Cola did not have to release their patent information and Paps went home.

Two weeks later he was back in court with another one pager.

This time he reminded the court of a ruling that was handed down by the same court some 3 months prior to the now famous "Coca Cola Case". That judgment had ruled that *evolution and not creation was to be taught at state schools because it comprised of the most probable scenario.* The judgment was based on and justified by the fact that *the various dating tests that had been developed by science corroborated the scientific theory of evolution and not the belief in creation.*

Now what formed the basis of the "evolution - Creation" ruling had just been destroyed by a subsequent judgment. One that was beneficiary to far more thorough and exhaustive inquiry of course. No doubt made possible by the limitless funding of what is probably one of the largest corporations on the face of this earth, namely Coca Cola. The "evolution - creation" judgment needed to be reversed of course. It was.

Today, creation science is taught in the state in which Mr. Paps resides.

Remember the heading Spreading the Religion through GRADUALISM IN EDUCATION? Coca Cola was also one of the sponsors of the Rockefeller and Carnegie foundations that pushed secular humanism into all the state schools through Deweyism. Getting the full picture here?

# ANCILLARY ARTICLES

*By Prof. Phillip Stott*

A member of Christians For truth (CFT)

## ***Creation, Evolution, and the Christian***

Creation and evolution are both very old ideas. They are both profoundly religious ideas. The Bible has spoken of creation by the word of God's command since the most ancient of times. Pagan religions back to at least the time of Babylon have taught some version of evolution. Believers in the more modern religions of atheism and secular humanism have no alternative but to accept it. There is no other foundation for their faith. After the tremendous advances in the civilised world brought about by the spread of the gospel, for many years secular humanism was held in check by the clear evidence that the amazing complexities of life demanded a designer. But Charles Darwin, a persuasive and skilful Bible hater (who knew better than to allow his anti-Christian leanings to show in public!), succeeded in producing arguments persuasive enough to convince many that there was a scientific basis for evolution. The secular humanists, who desperately wanted to believe in it, hailed Darwin's work as proof of the theory. In fact, it was nothing more than a set of clever arguments based on supposition, guesswork and unwarranted extrapolation, but in the light of the lack of biological knowledge of his day it was convincing to many. Thomas Huxley, a resourceful scholar, skilful debater and father of a line of influential secular humanists, took it upon himself to convince the intelligentsia that evolution was a fact, that the Bible was wrong in its statements about origins, and the notion of God was unnecessary. His diligent campaigning earned him the name "Darwin's bulldog".

Since then, evolution has taken an ever-stronger hold of the "respectable" scientific press and the media. In academic circles one is almost certain to be ridiculed and rejected if one says that one does not believe it. And yet, the case for evolution - weak in Darwin's day - has been getting steadily weaker as new discoveries have shown more and more of Darwin's assumptions to be wrong. The theory of evolution has had to change drastically - many of the things evolutionists claimed as established twenty or thirty years ago are now rejected and replaced by exactly opposite hypotheses. The theory has undergone several complete about faces. Surprisingly the evolutionist still proclaims as loudly as ever that evolution is an established fact, yet as the well-known geologist Derek Ager noted "it must be significant that nearly all the evolutionary stories I learned as a student ... have now been debunked."

The secular humanist has to believe in evolution, so we can understand why he is prepared to promote nebulous hypotheses to support his belief. But we might ask, what about a Christian? Does it make sense for him to believe in evolution?

A Christian has the authority of the Bible to stand on. The Bible is, in fact, the Christian's ONLY authority. The Bible is clearly not compatible with the idea of evolution. This fact is so obvious that the secular humanist has repeatedly used the anti-evolutionary stand of the Bible as evidence that the Scriptures



are in error and can be disregarded. However, many Christians, not wishing to appear as fools for the gospel, intimidated and convinced by the confident claims of highly acclaimed scientists, have adopted the position that evolution must be true, therefore the Bible must be interpreted in a different way.

But is that actually possible? Can the scriptures be conformed to the theory of evolution? The Genesis account of creation has God speaking into existence the unformed earth on the first day, and the firmament of the heavens on the second. On the third day we see Him creating dry land amidst the waters and then speaking into existence grass, fruit trees and plants of various kinds. On the fourth day God commands the sun, moon and stars into existence. On the fifth He commands sea creatures and birds into existence, and on the sixth land animals. Finally, he forms man from the dust of the earth and breathes the breath of life into him.

Can this be conformed in any way to the theory of evolution? Theistic evolutionists, convinced by the wisdom of man that evolution must be true, have suggested that when the Bible says "day" it really means vast ages of time. Not very convincing. In Exodus 20 8-11 God commands that the seventh day be kept as a day of rest: - " Six days shalt thou labour, and do all thy work. For in six days the LORD made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: wherefore the LORD blessed the Sabbath day, and hallowed it.

He gives the reason for man working for six days and resting on the seventh as the fact that He created everything in six days and hallowed the seventh. There is no way that this can make sense in terms of one day meaning vast ages - it has to mean what it clearly says - one day.

Even if one does accept long ages instead of days, one is no closer to a harmonisation to the wisdom of this world. Evolution cannot accept fruit trees being on the scene before life in the sea, birds before land animals, or the land and sea of our earth before the sun, moon and stars.

But there are ways out of believing what God says if one is prepared to look hard enough and devise clever enough "interpretations". It is a situation which has existed for thousands of years. Jesus faced the problem with the theologians of His day - the doctors of the law - the scribes of whom he said in Mark 7:7-"Howbeit in vain do they worship me, teaching for doctrines the commandments of men. 8 For laying aside the commandment of God, ye hold the tradition of men" .

One way of accepting the ideas of men and rejecting the fact that God commanded creation into existence in just six days is to say that in Genesis chapter 2 there is a different account of creation with a clearly different order of events, and therefore Genesis one can be interpreted anyway one pleases. Until evolutionists convinced theologians that evolution was true, Genesis 2 had been taken for what it appears to be, a recapitulation of some salient points relevant to the story just about to unfold, grouping events by focus rather than chronological order- a situation which often happens in Scripture - and in other writings too.

Continuing his line of reasoning the theologian is forced to conclude that from chapter 1 to chapter 11 of Genesis is a fairy story. What does Christ say of such a situation?

In Luke 16:31 we hear Jesus saying:- ".....If they hear not Moses and the prophets, neither will they be persuaded, though one rose from the dead."

and in John 5:4:- " 46 For had ye believed Moses, ye would have believed me: for he wrote of me. 47 But if ye believe not his writings, how shall ye believe my words?"

Again, the doctors of the law are not without an answer, the theologians of today say that Moses did not write anything in the Bible. They even claim that the written Hebrew language was not invented until long after Moses died, and the books attributed to Moses were actually written much later - probably by unknown scribes during the time of the exile to Babylon. They can even rationalize away Exodus 17:14 "And the LORD said unto Moses, Write this for a memorial in a book," , and Exodus 24:7 "And he took the book of the covenant, and read in the audience of the people:"

What can we say of someone who refuses to accept the scriptures on Jesus' terms and brings in such interpretations instead? It brings to mind His warning in Matthew 2:21 "Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven. 22 Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works? 23 And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity."

Jesus specifies the will of His Father in John 6:29:- "Jesus answered and said unto them, This is the work of God, that ye believe on him whom he hath sent." Those who refuse to do this are workers of iniquity in his sight, even if righteous in their own. They will be amazed when He says to them "I never knew you, depart from me". Their crime - refusing to believe His words, and believing instead the wisdom of fallible men, the wisdom of critical theologians and humanist "scientists".

And how persuasive is this theory of evolution anyway? How does it stand up to close scrutiny of its evidence? How does it compare with the findings of science?

Firstly, science deals with measurements and observations. Mendeleev made the famous remark "science begins with measurement". Einstein made the even more famous remark "What can be measured is science, everything else is speculation". What measurements support the theory of evolution?

None.

None, that is, as long as one is thinking in terms of evolution as it is sold to the public. The idea that life progresses from simple to complex, from molecules to man. The idea that new organs and structures appear because "good" mutations generate new information in the DNA. The idea embodied in Julian Huxley's definition of evolution:- "a directional and essentially irreversible process occurring in time, which in its course gives rise to an increase of variety and an increasingly high level of organisation in its

products". Has any scientist ever observed any such increase of variety or increase in organisation happening? No. On the contrary, observation shows that if structural changes occur they are changes for the worse - loss of function, damage - never advance or improvement. Many thousands of mutations have been observed. Not one has ever led to the kind of progress that evolution demands. The evolutionary texts in desperation point to one mutation which they claim to be "good" - a mutation which damages the blood and causes a disease called sickle cell anaemia. This is a serious, debilitating disease, so how can it be held up as a "good" mutation. The fact is that the blood cells are so damaged that a parasite called Plasmodium cannot live in them. Plasmodium causes malaria, so if you have sickle cell anaemia you are unlikely to die of malaria (though more likely to die of almost any other disease!). A better description would be a harmful mutation with one good side effect if you happen to live in a malarial region.

In order to get over this difficulty evolution has to be redefined (though the public is not told about this!). The definitions used today by evolutionists have to be very carefully phrased and utterly lame. Take for example that of Chris Colby in "An Introduction to Evolutionary Biology":- "Evolution is a change in the gene pool of a population over time. A gene is a hereditary unit that can be passed on unaltered for many generations. The gene pool is the set of all genes in a species or population".

Note that it says nothing about progress or development, just change. So damage to a gene is now evolution (it gives a change in a gene pool) so it is possible to claim that this lame kind of "evolution" has been observed because both degeneration and change without increase of complexity have been observed. Also, note that this definition does not allow for the origin of life, since there is no gene pool without a living population to start with. The lame definitions of today's professional evolutionists cause confusion and enable them to hide behind a smokescreen whenever it suits them. In what follows I use the term "evolution" not in this weak, emasculated, lame form, but with the normal meaning as used in the media, all school text-books and most university text-books.

Not all professional biologists are up to the sleight of hand that the leaders of the theory have come to adopt. Colbe notes :- "very few people -- the majority of biologists included -- have a satisfactory grasp of it. .... People who have a general interest in science are likely to dismiss evolution as a soft science after absorbing the pop science nonsense that abounds. The impression of it being a soft science is reinforced when biologists in unrelated fields speculate publicly about evolution."

So here we have one evolutionary biologist calling the statements of other evolutionary biologists "nonsense" and dismissing their pronouncements as mere speculation. I certainly agree with him on that, but wonder on what grounds he exempts himself from the same criticism! A point that needs to be noted is that professional biologists know perfectly well that the evolution stories being told to the public - and found in most college textbooks - are totally untenable. I challenged one such scientist, Dr. Joel Duff, on this after a meeting in Chicago. His response was that they could not tell the public the truth (i.e. the current theory believed by the majority of "experts" at the

present moment) about evolution - the public would not understand and would be confused.

Now it is certainly true that variation is observed in species. In practically any population there are different variants of some genes (called alleles), and different combinations of them occur at each mating. Also changing the arrangement of genes on the chromosome causes differences in such features as colour of hair and eyes, length of feathers and size of ears. Considerable rearrangement occurs at each mating. But such variation is not the kind needed for evolution, evolution does not call for a range of possible colours of feathers, length of ears or size of eyes, it requires the appearance of feathers, ears and eyes in the first place by chance mutations. It does not call for the rearrangement of existing information, it calls for the accidental generation of information - extremely complex information! No such event has ever been observed.

On the first requirement of science for a theory or hypothesis - measurement - any meaningful formulation of evolution fails. Another requirement is that it should be consistent with well established laws of science. The best established law in the whole of science is the second law of thermodynamics. It is also known as the fundamental law of science. The famous atheist Isaac Asimov gave a picturesque definition when he said "The universe is constantly getting more disorderly!" Viewed that way, we can see the Second Law all about us. We have to work hard to straighten a room, but left to itself it becomes a mess again very quickly and very easily. Even if we never enter it, it becomes dusty and musty. How difficult to maintain houses, and machinery, and our bodies in perfect working order: how easy to let them deteriorate. In fact, all we have to do is nothing, and everything deteriorates, collapses, breaks down, wears out, all by itself—and that is what the second law is all about." In other words the second law is directly opposed to evolution. Evolution says that left to itself inanimate matter organised itself into living organisms, and subsequently organised itself into vastly more complex ones.

The only way open for evolutionists to try and get round the second law of thermodynamics is to claim that it only applies to "closed" systems (systems where no energy comes in from outside), and then to point out that the earth is not a closed system, the sun's energy comes in from outside. Well informed evolutionists themselves admit that this is not so. As Dr. John Ross of Harvard university noted:-

"...there are no known violations of the second law of thermodynamics. Ordinarily the second law is stated for isolated [closed] systems, but the second law applies equally well to open systems ... there is somehow associated with the field of far-from equilibrium phenomena the notion that the second law of thermodynamics fails for such systems. It is important to make sure that this error does not perpetuate itself."

The claim that the theory of evolution does not violate the second law is an error being perpetuated by evolutionists unwilling to face reality. The theory of evolution (at least in any meaningful form) is not only unscientific, having no measurements to support it, but is also anti-scientific, it runs counter to the best established law in the whole of science. The well known atheist scientist Arthur Eddington stated the consequence for the theory of evolution:- "If your

theory is found to be against the second law of thermodynamics, I can give you no hope; there is nothing for [your theory] but to collapse in the deepest humiliation."

It is only the philosophical prejudice of the secular humanist which stops the humiliating collapse which honest science demands for the theory of evolution.

To be taken seriously any theory of science must make serious predictions, and those predictions must be borne out in practice. Darwin made predictions about his theory. One of the most important was this:- "the number of intermediate and transitional links, between all living and extinct species, must have been inconceivably great. But assuredly, if this theory be true, such have lived upon this earth." He predicted that they would be found in the fossil record.

No such intermediate and transitional links have been found.

That fact is borne out by statements from many famous and highly qualified evolutionists. Dr. Colin Patterson, for example, in charge of one of the most famous fossil collections in the world at the British museum said "Gould and the American Museum people are hard to contradict when they say there are no transitional fossils!..... will lay it on the line - there is not one such fossil for which one could make a watertight argument."

That fact is such a serious blow for evolution that evolutionists have had to find ways of not allowing the public to find out about it. The way they have devised is to re-define almost every word used in evolutionary theory to mean something else - without making this clear to any but a small minority who know the new definitions. Often these new definitions come from two techniques used in systematics - phenetics and cladistics. These techniques compare creatures but do not even try to identify ancestors, descendants, or anything actually relevant to evolution. But they use words like "intermediate" which evolutionary dogma uses, with new definitions having nothing to do with descent. It is then possible for evolutionists to say there are many intermediate fossils known, to give the false impression that this means what Darwin meant, and leave the dishonest impression that his prediction has been fulfilled.

Besides using devious definitions evolutionists often use simple dishonesty in pretending that there are intermediate and transitional links. One famous example is the story about the evolution of the mammalian middle ear, which is a distortion of a paper in the Journal of Morphology. The story is illustrated with drawings of skulls, quite similar, but with a gradual change in size, shape and position of two of the bones of the jaw which end up as bones of the middle ear. It is made to look like very convincing proof of evolution. But what we are not told is that two of the stages are purely hypothetical (there are no fossils at all to back them up); others are reconstructions from fragments of fossils in which the very bones in question are missing; also the sequence jumps backwards and forward in time; and the illustrations are drawn at various scales - some of the skulls are actually very large, others very small. And to cap it all, the original paper in the Journal of Morphology actually states that there is no possibility of this sequence representing a genuine line

of descent. When evolutionists have to use tactics like this to try and bolster up their tottering hypothesis it is clear that the theory is untenable.

Perhaps the most powerful device used by evolutionists in putting forward their theory is relying on public ignorance so that they can "blind one with science". How does a member of the public respond when a professor of biology tells them there is a clear case of evolutionary descent from Mesonochid via Pakicetus, Ambulocetus, Rodocetus, Indocetus, Protocetus and Basilosaurus to modern whales. Most have heard of whales, but for the rest? Who would dare to question such an impressive sequence? Now the biologist who tells the story knows that there are two fairly well known types of mesonochids, they are called Dissacus and Ankalagon. No biologist believes that either could have been part of a line of descent towards whales. There are three other mesonochids (called Dissacusium, Hukoutherium, Yangtanglestes) which have been reconstructed from just a few fragments of skull. There is too little evidence to connect any of the three with a line of descent towards whales. So which mesonochid did the whales evolve from? It must have been some unknown variety not yet discovered - a figment of the evolutionary imagination. In the technical literature it is clear that the evolutionists know this, Robert Carroll for example, stated in *Patterns and Processes of Vertebrate Evolution* "All known mesonychids are excluded from the actual chain of descent by the evolutionists' own criteria." The other steps in the sequence are no more impressive - the line from Pakicetus to Protocetus suffers from the same kind of problem, and also many authorities note that they may have lived at the same time or even in the wrong time sequence. None of the specimens actually known is a clear rung in the ladder of descent. The final step, to Basilosaurus, is even more unconvincing. All the previous creatures were about the size and proportions of a walrus (about 3 metres long), but Basilosaurus is suddenly a 20 metre long giant with the form of a sea serpent! Without knowing all this how will a member of the public be able to challenge the impressive but fatuous claims of highly qualified but less than honest scientists?

There are many demonstrations that evolution is scientifically untenable. For example, another of Darwin's conditions : "If it could be demonstrated that any complex organ existed, which could not possibly have been formed by numerous, successive, slight modifications, my theory would absolutely break down." has been met in microbiology where many cases have been found of "irreducible complexity" which could not have evolved piecemeal. It is met in the lungs of birds, which are so specialised, and so different from any other kind of lung that no sequence of small changes could have produced them.

And yet evolutionists continue to close their eyes to the evidence and defend their theory, ridiculing all who dare to question it and putting forth devious and dishonest support which is little more than "smoke and mirrors".

A Christian should not be taken in by this. He needs to take to heart the warnings of Romans 1:21-23:- "they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. 22 Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools,

and 2 Thessalonians 2:10 ".... they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved. 11 And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie:"

A Christian should not believe the lies that humanists are compelled to believe because God has sent them a strong delusion.

There is one final point which needs to be made. It is not much good trying to persuade such a person that evolution is false. God himself has sent them this strong delusion because they refused to believe His truth. If an evolutionist can be led to accept the truths of God then there is the possibility of the veil being lifted from his eyes.

(the author of this article, Philip Stott, may be contacted at: **Go to**

**[Christians for Truth](#) Homepage E-mail to CFT:**

**[c0000031@pixie.co.za](mailto:c0000031@pixie.co.za)**[cft@iafrica.com](mailto:cft@iafrica.com)****

=====

# **"The Bible Has The Answer"**

**by Henry Morris/Martin E. Clark**

Copyright (c) 1987 by  
Master Books,  
P.O. Box 1606,  
El Cajon,  
CA, 92022

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1) Has modern science discredited the Bible?	8701
2) Do miracles such as we read about in the Bible still occur today?	8702
3) How could Moses have written Genesis when writing was unknown in his day?	8703
4) How does the Bible explain the origin of different races?	8704
5) Was the long day of Joshua a fact of history or only a legend?	8705
6) How could Jonah survive three days and three nights in the belly of whale?	8706
7) Are there intelligent beings on other planets?	8707

### **Chapter 7 - Creation and Evolution**

1) Should a Christian believe in evolution?	8751
2) Is the creation story literal or allegorical?	8752
3) Is evolution a scientific fact?	8753
4) Can evolution be harmonized with the Second Law of Thermodynamics?	8754
5) When did Adam live?	8755
6) Is there a gap between the first two verses of Genesis?	8756
7) Can the days of Genesis be the ages of geology?	8757

### **Chapter 8 - The Ancient World**

1) When did the world begin?	8801
2) Where did Cain get his wife?	8802
3) How could men live so long before the Flood?	8803
3) How could great geological structures have been formed in a few thousand years?	8853
4) What about the theory of continental drift?	8854
5) Was there an Ice Age?	8855
6) What and when was the Stone Age?	8856
7) Will man ever be able to create life?	8857



## 1. Question:

### "Has Modern science discredited the Bible?"

**Answer:** Probably the most basic reason for the modern widespread Rebellion against traditional values in every realm--social, moral, political, educational, religious--is the widespread impression that Biblical principles have been outdated by the discoveries of modern science. Our constitution and our entire American culture were permeated in their origins with a strong national faith in God and His Word. The gradual undermining of confidence in the Scriptures, resulting from the rise of uniformitarianism and evolutionism in the nineteenth century, inevitably was followed by a revolt against the social and political institutions erected on that faith. It is no accident that religious liberals are almost always moral and political liberals, and vice versa.

The fact is, however, that true science has always confirmed the Bible! It is not science but scientism (that is, the extension of the scientific theories to a supposedly complete philosophy of life and meaning) that has attacked the Bible.

"Science" means "knowledge" and therefore includes only that which we actually know, by direct observation and experience. It is the organized body of factual knowledge and relationships. The "scientific method" necessarily involves experimental reproducibility and verification. Thus science, in its proper sense, can deal only with the processes of the world as they now exist. It can tell us nothing for certain about prehistoric events and processes, nor can it predict future events and processes with certainty.

Many scientists (not science as such, but scientists--men who are just as biased, fallible, sinful and human as any other men) have assumed that these present processes are eternal processes, and therefore that they can explain everything that ever has been or ever will be in terms of what exists now! This is the philosophy of "uniformitarianism" and is the ruling philosophy in the modern scientific establishment. It necessarily leads to "evolutionism," which seeks to explain the origin and development of all things in terms of present natural processes. But this assumption itself violates the two most fundamental laws of science, the First and Second Laws of Thermodynamics! These laws deal with the all-embracing entity known as "energy," which includes all the phenomena of the physical universe. All processes are basically interchanges of energy--even matter itself is fundamentally a type of energy, which can, under the right circumstances, be converted into other forms. The First Law of Energy Conservation, which states that nothing is now being created or destroyed. The Second Law is the Law of Energy Decay, which states that in all real processes there is a net loss of energy available for further work. All natural processes, without any exception whatever, operate within the framework of the Two Laws.

Thus all processes are conservative and disintegrative, not creative and integrative.

The Bible, instead of being discredited by science, has actually anticipated modern science. The Two Laws were stated in the pages of the Scriptures thousands of years before their recognition by nineteenth-century scientists. In fact, the institution of the First Law by God is commemorated every Sabbath Day. "For in six days the Lord made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day" (Exodus 20:11). All of His "works were finished from the foundation of the world" (Hebrews 4:3). He is now "upholding all things by the Word of His power" (Hebrews 1:3). Thus, He is not now creating anything, but neither is He allowing anything to be annihilated.

The Second Law expresses in a formal way the fact that something is intrinsically wrong with the world. Everything gets old, wears out, runs down, and finally dies. In all processes, some energy becomes degraded to low-level heat energy and can no longer be used. Every ordered system, left to itself, tends to become disorganized. Complex structures tend to break up and become simpler. The "entropy" (that is, the disorder, or randomness) of a system tends to increase, and this tendency can only be superseded, locally and temporarily, if there is an excess supply of ordering energy brought in from outside the system.

In the Bible, this Law is called the "bondage of corruption" (literally, "decay") under which the "whole creation is groaning" (Romans 8:21, 22). It is nothing less than God's primeval Curse on man and his entire dominion (Genesis 3:17), which God invoked when man first brought sin into God's originally perfect creation.

This Second Law teaches that, unless God Himself intervenes, the universe is proceeding inexorably toward an ultimate "heat death," when all available energy will have been degraded to low-level heat and no more work can be done. Since this state has not yet been reached, the universe is not infinitely old and thus must have had a definite beginning!

The First Law states that, since all present processes are conservative, not creative, the beginning of all things required by the Second Law must have been accomplished by means of creative processes which are not now in existence {[1] The biblical, theological, and scientific implications of the First and Second Laws are treated more fully in the author's book, *The Biblical Basis for Modern Science* (Grand Rapids: Baker Book House, 1984), pp. 185-215.} Therefore, they are inaccessible to science, and anything we are ever to learn about them must come by revelation from the creator Himself.

Thus the basic framework of science, confirmed by Biblical revelation, leads us inexorably and irrefutably to the first words ever written and the most profoundly important truth ever comprehended: "In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth." (Genesis 1:1).

=====

These chapters are quoted by permission of the Institute of Creation Research (ICR), California. This material may be used with the Online Bible for personal and scholarly use. You do not have the right to republish this material in any form without the permission of ICR. Contact ICR for details. Write the publishers at:

Master Books, P.O. Box 1606, El Cajon, CA, 92022

They have a large selection of creation based materials. Write them for a free catalogue. If overseas, contact them for the address of the distributor in your country. The topics disk containing this material may be freely distributed, provided it is not altered in anyway.

If you would like to learn more about creation and the Bible, contact your country's creation organisation. If you do not know the address, write ICR for information.

Institute for Creation Research,  
P. O. Box 2667,  
El Cajon, CA  
92021

or call (619) 448-0900.

An excellent magazine on creation is "Ex Nihilo". You can find out how to get a copy by writing:

Creation Science Ministries  
P.O. Box 6330,  
Florence, KY  
41022

In the US call 1-800-350-3232.

## ***Is There a Large Gap of Time between Genesis 1:1 and 1:2?***

The idea that a vast period of time elapsed between the first two verses of Genesis is known as the gap theory. Most variations of this theory interpret Genesis 1:1 as the first creation, which included the creation of the heavens, the earth, plants and animals, and even a race of humans preceding Adam! Perhaps billions of years then elapsed, during which time Satan and his angels fell and corrupted the inhabitants of the earth. God then judged and destroyed the earth and all its inhabitants. Thus, the earth became “formless and void” (Genesis 1:2) and remained that way for eons. The second creation, according to the gap theory, began in Genesis 1:3 with the first day of the (re)creation week—the familiar six-day creation. This series of events is also called the “ruin-reconstruction theory” or “the pre-Adamic cataclysm theory.”

The modern gap theory was proposed in 1814 by Thomas Chalmers, a leading Scottish theologian. Some geologists of his day had argued that the earth was much older than Genesis implied. Chalmers, therefore, proposed the gap theory to harmonize Genesis with the demands of those geologists. There is no clear record of anyone prior to 1814 interpreting Genesis 1:1–2 in this way.<sup>1</sup> This is especially significant, because Hebrew scholars of two thousand years ago certainly understood Hebrew writing better than we do today. Apparently, the sole motivation for advancing the gap theory was to accommodate the demand for long periods of time.<sup>2</sup> Unfortunately, the adherents to the theory are usually unaware of all the scientific evidence supporting a young earth.

What are the problems with the gap theory? Gap theorists generally believe that the fossil record was formed, not in a global flood, but when God destroyed the earth in “the gap” between Genesis 1:1 and 1:2. Gappists have not understood how the flood rapidly formed fossils and deposited sedimentary layers which average a mile in thickness. For that reason, they believe Noah’s flood was less destructive than the judgment supposedly preceding the creation week. No clear biblical passage supports the worldwide destruction they imagine, and they ignore references to Noah’s flood by many biblical writers and Christ Himself (Mt 24:37–39, Lk 17:26–27). The gap theory resulted, to a large extent, from a failure to comprehend the flood. (See pages [95–129](#).)

Gap theorists also ignore this clear biblical statement that there was no great time gap preceding the completed creation:

*For in six days the Lord made the heavens and the earth, the sea and all that is in them ... (Exodus 20:11)*

The gap theory states that the heavens were created long before the six creation days—perhaps billions of years earlier. Exodus 20:11 says the heavens (and everything else) were made in six days. If the gap theory is correct, the Sun must have shone on earth to support the life that existed before the “gap.” But Genesis 1:14–18 says the Sun was made on the fourth day of the creation week.

Gap theorists miss the importance of Christ’s words in Mark 10:6, “*But from the beginning of creation, God made them [Adam and Eve] male and female.*”

Christ knew that Adam and Eve were created at the beginning, not after a vast gap of time.

According to most versions of the gap theory, the death and destruction shown by the fossil record, including the death of supposedly pre-Adamic man, **preceded** Adam's creation. But the Bible clearly states that death came **because of** (therefore, after) Adam.

If Satan fell before the creation week, as most gap theorists maintain, it is strange that at the end of the creation week, God pronounced that all He had made was "very good" (Genesis 1:31). Also, the fossil record gives evidence of death and violent burial on a global scale. How could such destruction be described as "very good" if it preceded God's pronouncement?

Why then do some believe in the gap theory? As mentioned earlier, they have accepted, perhaps unknowingly, claims that the earth is billions of years old. Therefore, they try to find where a vast period of time might fit into the Bible. They know that long periods of time cannot be inserted after Adam's creation because the various genealogies are tightly linked.<sup>3</sup> Consequently, the only place billions of years can be inserted is before Adam. Because time flowed smoothly and continuously during the creation week, a week that for various reasons is composed of normal 24-hour days, the time gap must be inserted before the first creation day. Rather than start the creation week at Genesis 1:1 as most Bible scholars do, gappists start that week at Genesis 1:3. Therefore, they believe that an unspecified length of time existed (whatever geologists demand) before Genesis 1:3.

To justify this, they propose nontraditional translations of several verses. They believe that Genesis 1:2a should be translated "the earth *became* formless and void," instead of the more widely accepted translation "the earth *was* formless and void." It should be emphasized that prior to 1800, no one ever translated this verse as gap theorists do. While it is true that the Hebrew word "*hayah*" can be translated "became," it is usually translated "was." In fact, in the 4,900 times "*hayah*" occurs in the Old Testament, almost 98% are translated as "was." Hebrew grammarians and linguists have almost uniformly rejected the translation "became" or "had become."

Gap theorists rely heavily on Isaiah 45:18, which states:

*For thus says the Lord, who created the heavens (He is the God who formed the earth and made it, He established it and did not create it a waste place, but formed it to be inhabited),*

They correctly state that God did not create the earth a waste place. Genesis 1:2, using the same Hebrew word as in Isaiah 45:18 for "waste place," describes the earth as "formless and void." Gap theorists unfortunately conclude that after the earth's first creation, it must have become a waste place that was "formless and void." A more straightforward and internally consistent interpretation is that the earth was *temporarily* "formless and void" during the first day of its creation. At the end of the sixth creation day, the earth was completed, inhabited, and "very good"—not "formless and void." In other words, God "did not create it [to be] a waste place, but formed it to be inhabited."

Another verse used to support the gap theory is Genesis 1:28, which in the King James translation states "... Be fruitful and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it ...". The word "replenish" is today a recognized mistranslation of the Hebrew. All modern translations translate this word "fill."

Most people who accept the gap theory have great confidence in the Bible and oppose evolution. However, they accept many evolutionary interpretations of such things as dinosaurs, ice ages, and coal-producing peat bogs. They avoid controversy by placing dinosaurs, ice ages, and coal formation in the "gap," and thus fail to see their connection with the flood. Consequently, gappists generally take a position of noninvolvement in the origins issue other than saying they accept creation and oppose evolution. This attitude helped the evolutionary viewpoint go largely unopposed in our schools and media for many decades.

The gap theory has declined in popularity in recent years.<sup>4</sup> It was one of many attempts to reinterpret Scripture to conform to a belief that was becoming popular among some scientists in the 1800s—a belief in an old earth. Unfortunately, the gap theory is inconsistent with the Bible in many ways.



This is the online edition of


***In the Beginning: Compelling Evidence for Creation and the Flood***

(7th Edition) by Dr. Walt Brown. Copyright © 1995–2002, Center for Scientific Creation. All rights reserved.

[Click here to order online.](#)

**Print**  **Previous**

## ABOUT THIS SITE



The 7th Edition of *In the Beginning: Compelling Evidence for Creation and the Flood* by Dr. Walt Brown is available to order [here](#). It can also be read or printed out at this web site; just use the links to the left to navigate through the outline of the entire book.

New developments since the 7th Edition was published in April 2001:

- Living bacteria have been found in meteorites. For details and to see how this relates to the global flood, go to page [242](#). This amazing discovery does not mean that life on earth came from outer space.

- Prediction 1 of the hydroplate theory, first made in 1980, appears to have been confirmed: A one-mile-thick layer of salt water has been detected 10 miles below the Tibetan Plateau. For details, see page [114](#).


(To fully understand the above discoveries, be sure to read Part II, beginning on page [94](#).)

- Item 94 “Solar Fuel” and Item 95 “Shrinking Sun,” which were on page 34 of the hardbound version of the book, have been removed from the web version of the book. Experiments conducted at the Sudbury Neutrino Observatory in Ontario, Canada, in June 2001 discovered characteristics of neutrinos that explained a 30-year-old mystery.

You may also read a short description:

- [About the Author](#)
- [About the Book In the Beginning: Compelling Evidence for Creation and the Flood](#)
- [20 Questions for Evolutionists](#)
- [Written Debate](#)
- [Search the online book](#).

This page last updated  
on *Thursday, September  
12, 2002 22:39:55  
Eastern Daylight Time*  
This site best viewed  
with Netscape 6 or  
Internet Explorer 6 or  
greater.



Copyright © 1995–2002  
Center for Scientific Creation  
<http://www.creationscience.com/w>  
[ebmaster@creationscience.com](mailto:ebmaster@creationscience.com)  
(602) 955-7663



## Table of contents

For *In the Beginning: Compelling Evidence for Creation and the Flood* (7th Edition)

<a href="#">Preface</a> v	<a href="#">Barriers, Buffers, and Chemical Pathways</a>	<a href="#">Techniques That Argue for an Old Earth Are Either Illogical or Are Based on Unreasonable Assumptions.</a>
<a href="#">Part I:</a>	<a href="#">Genetic Distances</a>	<a href="#">Hidden Assumptions</a>
<a href="#">The Scientific Case for Creation</a>	<a href="#">Genetic Information</a>	<a href="#">Corals and Caves</a>
<a href="#">Introduction</a>	<a href="#">DNA Production</a>	<a href="#">Constant Decay?</a>
<a href="#">Life Sciences</a>	<a href="#">Handedness: Left and Right</a>	<a href="#">Radiometric</a>
<a href="#">Astronomical and Physical Sciences</a>	<a href="#">Improbabilities</a>	<a href="#">Contradictions</a>
<a href="#">Earth Sciences</a>	<a href="#">Metamorphosis</a>	<a href="#">Index Fossils</a>
<a href="#">Life Sciences</a> 6	<a href="#">Symbiotic Relationships</a>	<a href="#">Geologic Column</a>
<a href="#">The Theory of Organic Evolution Is Invalid.</a>	<a href="#">Sexual Reproduction</a>	<a href="#">Old DNA, Bacteria, and Proteins?</a>
<a href="#">Organic Evolution Has Never Been Observed.</a>	<a href="#">Immune Systems</a>	<a href="#">Human Artifacts</a>
<a href="#">The Law of Biogenesis</a>	<a href="#">Living Technology</a>	<a href="#">Humanlike Footprints</a>
<a href="#">Acquired Characteristics</a>	<a href="#">The Validity of Thought</a>	<a href="#">Parallel Layers</a>
<a href="#">Mendel's Laws</a>	<a href="#">Life Science Conclusions</a>	<a href="#">Most Scientific Dating Techniques Indicate the Earth, Solar System, and Universe Are Young.</a>
<a href="#">Bounded Variations</a>	<a href="#">Astronomical and Physical Sciences</a> 24	<a href="#">Helium</a>
<a href="#">Natural Selection</a>	<a href="#">The Universe, the Solar System, the Earth, and Life Were Recently Created.</a>	<a href="#">Lead and Helium</a>
<a href="#">Mutations</a>	<a href="#">Theories for the Evolution of the Solar System and Universe Are Unscientific and Hopelessly Inadequate.</a>	<a href="#">Diffusion</a>
<a href="#">Fruit Flies</a>	<a href="#">Strange Planets</a>	<a href="#">Excess Fluid Pressure</a>
<a href="#">Complex Molecules and Organs</a>	<a href="#">Earth: The Water Planet</a>	<a href="#">Volcanic Debris</a>
<a href="#">Fully-Developed Organs</a>	<a href="#">Molten Earth?</a>	<a href="#">River Sediments</a>
<a href="#">Distinct Types</a>	<a href="#">Evolving Planets?</a>	<a href="#">Continental Erosion</a>
<a href="#">Altruism</a>	<a href="#">Planetary Rings</a>	<a href="#">Dissolved Metals</a>
<a href="#">Extraterrestrial Life?</a>	<a href="#">Origin of the Moon</a>	<a href="#">Shallow Meteorites</a>
<a href="#">Language</a>	<a href="#">Evolution of the Solar System?</a>	<a href="#">Meteoritic Dust</a>
<a href="#">Speech</a>	<a href="#">Faint Young Sun</a>	<a href="#">Magnetic Decay</a>
<a href="#">Codes and Programs</a>	<a href="#">Mountains of Venus</a>	<a href="#">Rapid Cooling</a>
<a href="#">Information</a>	<a href="#">Space, Time, and Matter</a>	<a href="#">Moon Recession</a>
<a href="#">The Arguments for Evolution Are Outdated and Often Illogical.</a>	<a href="#">A Beginning</a>	<a href="#">Moon Dust and Debris</a>
<a href="#">A Common Designer</a>	<a href="#">First Law of Thermodynamics</a>	<a href="#">Crater Creep</a>
<a href="#">Vestigial Organs</a>	<a href="#">Second Law of Thermodynamics</a>	<a href="#">Hot Moon</a>
<a href="#">Two-Celled Life?</a>	<a href="#">Big Bang?</a>	<a href="#">Young Comets</a>
<a href="#">Embryology</a>	<a href="#">Dark Thoughts</a>	<a href="#">Small Comets</a>
<a href="#">Rapid Burial</a>	<a href="#">Missing Mass</a>	<a href="#">Hot Planets</a>
<a href="#">Parallel Strata</a>	<a href="#">Stellar Nursery, or Is the Emperor Naked?</a>	<a href="#">Solar Wind</a>
<a href="#">Fossil Gaps</a>	<a href="#">Heavy Elements</a>	<a href="#">Poynting-Robertson Effect</a>
<a href="#">Missing Trunk</a>	<a href="#">Interstellar Gas</a>	<a href="#">Connected Galaxies</a>
<a href="#">Out-of-Place Fossils</a>	<a href="#">Fast Binaries</a>	<a href="#">Unstable Galaxies</a>
<a href="#">Ape-Men?</a>	<a href="#">Star Births?</a>	<a href="#">Galaxy Clusters</a>
<a href="#">Fossil Man</a>	<a href="#">Stellar Evolution?</a>	<a href="#">Conclusion</a>
<a href="#">Life Is So Complex That Chance Processes, Even over Billions of Years, Cannot Explain Its Origin.</a>	<a href="#">O Stars</a>	<a href="#">Earth Sciences</a> 42
<a href="#">Chemical Elements of Life</a>	<a href="#">Galaxies</a>	<a href="#">The Earth Has Experienced a Worldwide Flood.</a>
<a href="#">Proteins</a>		<a href="#">Archaeological Evidence Indicates Noah's Ark Probably Exists.a</a>
<a href="#">The First Cell</a>		<a href="#">Ancient Historians</a>



[British Scientists](#)  
[James Bryce](#)  
[Turkish Commissioners](#)  
[George Hagopian](#)  
[Russian Pilot](#)  
[Turkish Soldiers](#)  
[Ed Davis](#)  
[George Greene](#)  
[The CIA's "Ararat Anomaly"](#)  
[Gregor Schwinghammer](#)  
[Many of the Earth's Previously Unexplainable Features Can Be Explained by a Cataclysmic Flood.](#)  
[The Seemingly Impossible Events of a Worldwide Flood Are Credible, If Examined Closely.](#)  
[Water above Mountains? Shells on Mountains Flood Legends Was There Room? References and Notes 47](#)  
[Part II:](#)  
[Fountains of the Great Deep](#)  
[The Hydroplate Theory: An Overview 96](#)  
[A Few of the Mysteries How to Evaluate Theories](#)  
[The Hydroplate Theory: Assumptions](#)  
[Phases of the Hydroplate Theory: Rupture, Flood, Drift, and Recovery](#)  
[A Picture with a Story](#)  
[What's Ahead](#)  
[Lake Kashmir](#)  
[References and Notes](#)  
[The Origin of Oceanic Trenches 132](#)  
[Drifting vs. Shifting Theories Attempting to Explain the Origin of Trenches](#)  
[Evaluation of Evidence vs. Theories](#)  
[Evidence Requiring an Explanation](#)  
[A Volume Check](#)  
[Final Thoughts](#)  
[References and Notes](#)  
[Liquefaction: The Origin of Strata and Layered Fossils 152](#)  
[Examples of Liquefaction](#)

[Liquefaction During the Flood](#)  
[A Closer Look at Liquefaction](#)  
[Testing the Theories](#)  
[Liquefaction During the Compression Event](#)  
[References and Notes](#)  
[The Origin of Limestone 166](#)  
[Nine observations explained by this scenario:](#)  
[Final Thoughts](#)  
[References and Notes](#)  
[Frozen Mammoths 174](#)  
[General Description](#)  
[Some Specifics](#)  
[Evidence Requiring an Explanation](#)  
[Theories Attempting to Explain Frozen Mammoths](#)  
[What Happened?](#)  
[Evaluation of Evidence vs. Theories](#)  
[Details Relating to the Hydroplate Theory](#)  
[Details Relating to the Lake Drowning Theory](#)  
[Details Relating to the Crevasse Theory](#)  
[Details Relating to the Mud Burial Theory](#)  
[Details Relating to the River Transport Theory](#)  
[Details Relating to the Extinction-by-Man Theory](#)  
[Details Relating to the Bering Barrier Theory](#)  
[Details Relating to the Shifting Crust Theory](#)  
[Details Relating to the Meteorite Theory](#)  
[Were Mammoths Frozen after the Flood?](#)  
[Final Thoughts](#)  
[References and Notes](#)  
[The Origin of Comets 204](#)  
[Gravity: How and Why Most Things Move](#)  
[How Comets Move](#)  
[Comet Composition](#)  
[Small Comets](#)  
[Details Requiring an Explanation](#)  
[Theories Attempting to Explain the Origin of Comets](#)

[Questions Precede Advances](#)  
[Evaluation of Evidence vs. Theories](#)  
[Details Relating to the Hydroplate Theory](#)  
[Detecting the Hidden Mass That Comets Feel](#)  
[Details Relating to Exploded Planet Theory](#)  
[Details Relating to the Volcanic Eruption Theory](#)  
[Details Relating to the Original Oort Cloud Theory](#)  
[Details Relating to the Revised Oort Cloud Theory](#)  
[Details Relating to Meteor Stream Theory](#)  
[Details Relating to Interstellar Capture Theory](#)  
[Another Possibility: Creation](#)  
[Final Thoughts](#)  
[References and Notes](#)  
[The Origin of Asteroids and Meteoroids 236](#)  
[Meteorites, Meteors, and Meteoroids](#)  
[Two Interpretations](#)  
[Chondrules](#)  
[Meteorites Return Home](#)  
[Water on Mars](#)  
[Final Thoughts](#)  
[References and Notes](#)  
[Are Some Meteorites from Mars?](#)  
[Part III:](#)  
[Frequently Asked Questions](#)  
[How Can the Study of Creation Be Scientific? 254](#)  
[Have New Scientific and Mathematical Tools Detected Adam and Eve? 255](#)  
[Because We See Galaxies Billions of Light-Years Away, Isn't the Universe Billions of Years Old? 258](#)  
[How Old Do Evolutionists Say the Universe Is? 264](#)  
[What Was Archaeopteryx? 266](#)

[How Accurate Is Radiocarbon Dating? 270](#)  
[Is There Life in Outer Space? 273](#)  
[Haven't Planets Been Discovered Outside the Solar System? 274](#)  
[What about the Dinosaurs? 276](#)  
[Did It Rain before the Flood? 278](#)  
[How Could Saltwater and Freshwater Fish Survive the Flood? 280](#)  
[How Was the Earth Divided in Peleg's Day? 281](#)  
[What Caused the Flood? 283](#)  
[Did the Flood Last 40 Days and 40 Nights? 286](#)  
[Is the Hydroplate Theory Consistent with the Bible? 287](#)  
[Did a Water Canopy Surround Earth and Contribute to the Flood? 290](#)  
[Arguments for a Canopy—and Brief Responses](#)  
[Scientific Arguments Opposing a Canopy](#)  
[An Alternate Interpretation](#)  
[A Study of Some Key Hebrew Words](#)  
[Questions Raised by Genesis 1:8a](#)

[What Does "Raqia" Mean? Mythology and Canopies Conclusion](#)  
[If God Made Everything, Who Made God? 298](#)  
[How Did Human "Races" Develop? 299](#)  
[According to the Bible, When Was Adam Created? 303](#)  
[Is There a Large Gap of Time between Genesis 1:1 and 1:2? 304](#)  
[Isn't Evolution Compatible with the Bible? 306](#)  
[Does the New Testament Support Genesis 1–11? 313](#)  
[How Can Origins Be Taught in High School or College? 315](#)  
[The Origins Research Project](#)  
[Resource Materials](#)  
[Questions and Answers](#)  
[What Are the Social Consequences of Evolution? 320](#)  
[How Can I Become Involved in This Issue? 323](#)  
[Why Does the Universe Seem To Be Expanding? 325](#)  
[How Do Evolutionists Respond to What You Say? 326](#)  
[How Do You Respond to Common Claims of Evolutionists? 327](#)

[Why Don't Creationists Publish in Leading Science Journals? 329](#)  
[Introduction](#)  
[Agreement for Written Debate](#)  
[What Additional Reading Do You Recommend? 332](#)  
[Books:](#)  
[Periodicals:](#)  
[Videos:](#)  
[Technical Notes 335](#)  
[How Long Would It Take the Moon to Recede from Earth to Its Present Position?](#)  
[References and Notes](#)  
[How Much Dust and Meteoritic Debris Should the Moon Have If It Is 4,600,000,000 Years Old?](#)  
[The Data Integration](#)  
[Discussion](#)  
[Conclusion](#)  
[References and Notes](#)  
[Does Subduction Really Occur?](#)  
[Can Overthrusts Occur?](#)  
[Can Mountains Buckle?](#)  
[Energy in Subterranean Water](#)  
[Melting the Inner Earth](#)  
[References and Notes](#)  
[Illustration Credits](#)  
[Index 349](#)  
[Order Form for 35 mm Slides and 8 1/2" × 11" Overhead Transparencies](#)

## Footnotes linked to web Pages

- baqia (Hebrew for breaches) [1](#)
- barbed canyons [1](#)
- Barber, D. J. [1](#)
- Barinaga, Marcia [1](#)
- Barnard's star [1](#)
- Barnes, Deborah M. [1](#)
- Barnes, Thomas G. [1](#)
- Barr, James [1](#)
- barriers, buffers, and chemical pathways [1](#), [2](#)
- Barrow, John [1](#)
- Barto-Kyriakidis, A. [1](#)
- Baryshnikov, G. F. [1](#)
- basalt
- beneath continents [1](#)
- chemistry of [1](#)
- Curie point for [1](#)
- flood [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#)
- lava [1](#)
- on ocean floor [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- part of sediments [1](#)
- photo of [1](#)
- subterranean chamber [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- type of rock [1](#)
- Bates, Robert L. [1](#)
- bats [1](#), [2](#)
- Batten, Roger L. [1](#)
- Baugh, Albert C. [1](#)
- Bay of Fundy, Canada [1](#)
- Bethell, Tom [1](#)
- Bible References
- Also see "Log of Flood Year," [1](#)
- Also see "New Testament ...," [1](#), [2](#)
- Also see "Theistic Evolution," [1](#), [2](#)
- Genesis [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- Genesis 1: [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#)
- Genesis 1: 2 [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- Genesis 1: 3 [1](#), [2](#)
- Genesis 1: 6 [1](#)
- Genesis 1: 6, 7, 9 [1](#)
- Genesis 1: 6-7 [1](#), [2](#)
- Genesis 1: 6-8a [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- Genesis 1: 7 [1](#)
- Genesis 1: 8a [1](#), [2](#)
- Genesis 1: 9 [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- Genesis 1:10 [1](#), [2](#)
- Genesis 1:11-12 [1](#)
- Genesis 1:14 [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- Genesis 1:14, 15 [1](#)
- Genesis 1:14, 15, 17 [1](#)
- Genesis 1:14-17 [1](#)
- Genesis 1:14-18 [1](#)
- Genesis 1:14-19 [1](#)
- Genesis 1:14-20 [1](#)
- Genesis 1:16 [1](#)
- Genesis 1:24-25 [1](#)
- Genesis 10-11 [1](#)
- Genesis 10: 8-10 [1](#)
- Genesis 10:21 [1](#)
- Genesis 10:25 [1](#), [2](#)
- Genesis 11: 1-9 [1](#), [2](#)
- Genesis 11: 4-9 [1](#)
- Genesis 11:26 [1](#)
- Genesis 11:32 [1](#)
- Genesis 12: 4 [1](#)
- Genesis 15:10 [1](#)
- Genesis 17: 2 [1](#)
- Exodus 20:11 [1](#), [2](#)
- Leviticus 18:6-18 [1](#)
- Numbers 16:31 [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- I Samuel 15:29 [1](#)
- II Samuel 21:10 [1](#)
- I Chronicles 1:19 [1](#)
- Job 9: 8 [1](#)
- Job 38: 4-6 [1](#)
- Job 38: 8-11 [1](#)
- Job 38:25 [1](#)
- Job 40:15-18 [1](#)
- Psalms 18:15 [1](#), [2](#)
- Psalms 19: 1 [1](#)
- Psalms 24: 2 [1](#), [2](#)
- Psalms 33: 7 [1](#)
- Psalms 74:14 [1](#)
- Psalms 75: 3 [1](#)
- Psalms 78:15 [1](#)
- Psalms 8:7 [1](#)

Psalm 104: 1-4 [1](#)  
 Psalm 104: 2 [1](#)  
 Psalm 104: 3 [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)  
 Psalm 104: 6-8 [1](#)  
 Psalm 104: 6b-9 [1](#)  
 Psalm 104:26 [1](#)  
 Psalm 136: 5-9 [1](#)  
 Psalm 136: 6 [1](#), [2](#)  
 Psalm 139:14 [1](#)  
 Proverbs 3:19-20 [1](#)  
 Proverbs 3:20 [1](#)  
 Proverbs 8:22-29 [1](#)  
 Isaiah 27: 1 [1](#)  
 Isaiah 34:15 [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)  
 Isaiah 40:22 [1](#)  
 Isaiah 42: 5 [1](#)  
 Isaiah 44:24 [1](#)  
 Isaiah 45:12 [1](#)  
 Isaiah 45:18 [1](#)  
 Isaiah 48:13 [1](#)  
 Isaiah 51:13 [1](#)  
 Isaiah 59: 5 [1](#), [2](#)  
 Jeremiah 10:12 [1](#)  
 Jeremiah 51:15 [1](#)  
 Ezekiel 13:11-13 [1](#), [2](#)  
 Micah 1:4 [1](#), [2](#)  
 Zechariah 12:1 [1](#)  
 Zechariah 14:4 [1](#), [2](#)  
 Malachi 3: 6 [1](#)  
 Matthew 24:37-39 [1](#)  
 Matthew 28:19-20 [1](#)  
 Mark 10:6 [1](#)  
 Luke 3:36-37 [1](#)  
 Luke 17:26-27 [1](#)  
 John 1:1 [1](#)  
 John 10:9 [1](#)  
 John 14:2-3 [1](#)  
 Acts 7: 4 [1](#)  
 Romans 5:12 [1](#)  
 Romans 8:22 [1](#)  
 Hebrews 6:17 [1](#)  
 Hebrews 11: 5 [1](#)  
 James 1:17 [1](#)  
 I Peter 3:20-21 [1](#)  
 II Peter 3: 3-6 [1](#), [2](#)  
 II Peter 3: 5 [1](#)  
 II Peter 3: 5-6 [1](#)  
 Revelation 1: 8 [1](#)  
 Revelation 21: 6 [1](#)  
 Revelation 22:13 [1](#)  
 Bickerton, Derek [1](#)  
 big bang [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#),  
[9](#), [10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [13](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#)  
 big roll [1](#), [2](#)  
 binaries [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)  
 Binzel, R. P. [1](#)  
 bioastronomy [1](#)  
 biochemistry [1](#), [2](#)  
 biodiversity [1](#)  
 biogenesis [1](#), [2](#)  
 biogenetic law [1](#), [2](#)  
 bioluminescence in life [1](#)  
 birds [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#),  
[10](#), [11](#), [12](#)  
 analogy to "fountains" [1](#), [2](#)  
 Archaeopteryx [1](#), [2](#)  
 Arctic Tern [1](#)  
 creation day [1](#)  
 density of [1](#)  
 duck [1](#)  
 eggs of [1](#)  
 finches [1](#)  
 flight [1](#)  
 how to fossilize [1](#)  
 hummingbird [1](#), [2](#)  
 modern [1](#), [2](#)  
 origin of [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)  
 wing [1](#)  
 Birdsell, J. B. [1](#)  
 Bishop, Edwin V. [1](#)  
 Black Canyon of the  
 Gunnison [1](#), [2](#)  
 compression event [1](#)  
 black hole [1](#), [2](#)  
 Black Sea [1](#)  
 black smokers (on ocean  
 floor) [1](#)  
 Blackburn, Quinn A. [1](#)  
 Blatt, Harvey [1](#), [2](#)  
 blind test [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)  
 Bliss, Richard [1](#), [2](#)  
 Block, Douglas A. [1](#)  
 Block, Myron J. [1](#)  
 blood [1](#), [2](#)  
 Bobrovnikoff, N. T. [1](#), [2](#)  
 Bock, Walter J. [1](#)  
 Bode, Johann (1747-1826) [1](#)  
 Bode's law [1](#), [2](#)  
 Bohlin, Raymond G. [1](#)  
 Bolin, Bert [1](#)  
 bombardier beetle [1](#), [2](#)  
 boron [1](#)  
 Borucki, Monica K. [1](#)  
 Bose, A. [1](#), [2](#)  
 Bosphorus (strait) [1](#), [2](#)  
 Bougainville Trench [1](#)  
 Boule, Marcellin [1](#)  
 bounded variation [1](#), [2](#)  
 Bouw, Gerardus D. [1](#)  
 Bowden, Malcolm [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#),  
[5](#), [6](#)  
 Bower, Bruce [1](#), [2](#)  
 Boyle, Robert (1627-1691) [1](#)  
 Bozarth, G. Richard [1](#)  
 Brace, C. L. [1](#)  
 brachiopods [1](#)  
 Bradley, David [1](#)  
 Bradley, Walter L. [1](#)  
 Brahe, Tycho (1546-1601) [1](#)  
 braille [1](#)  
 brain [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#),  
[9](#), [10](#)  
 Brand, Leonard R. [1](#)  
 Brandt, John C. [1](#)  
 Branley, Franklyn M. [1](#)  
 Brauer, Oscar L. [1](#)  
 breached dam  
 "Lake California" [1](#)  
 Black Sea, Bosphorus,  
 Dardanelles [1](#)  
 Grand Lake and Grand  
 Canyon [1](#)  
 Kashmir [1](#)  
 Mediterranean "Lake"  
 and Strait of Gibraltar [1](#)  
 breaking continents [1](#)  
 Brecher, Kenneth [1](#)  
 breeding experiments [1](#),  
[2](#), [3](#)  
 Brennan, Justice William  
[1](#)  
 Brewer, Gregory J. [1](#)  
 Brewster, David [1](#)  
 Breyne, John [1](#)  
 Bright, Richard C. [1](#)  
 Brinkmann, R. T. [1](#)  
 British Columbia [1](#)  
 British Museum (Natural  
 History) [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)  
 brittlestar [1](#)  
 Brooks Daniel [1](#)  
 Brooks, J. [1](#)  
 Brosche, P. [1](#)  
 brown dwarfs [1](#), [2](#)  
 Brown, Robert Bayne [1](#)  
 Brown, Robert H. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#),  
[4](#)  
 Browne, J. B. [1](#)  
 Brownlee, D. E. [1](#)  
 Brownlee, Robert R. [1](#)  
 Brownlee, Shannon [1](#)  
 Bryce Canyon [1](#)  
 Bryce, James (searching  
 for Ark) [1](#)  
 Buchanan, John [1](#)  
 Buck, W. Roger [1](#)  
 buckling of a beam on an  
 elastic foundation [1](#)  
 Bullard, Edward [1](#), [2](#)  
 Burbidge, Geoffrey R. [1](#),  
[2](#), [3](#)  
 Burbidge, Margaret [1](#)  
 Burdick, Clifford [1](#)  
 Burke, Ann C. [1](#)  
 Burrows, Wes [1](#)  
 butterflies [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#)  
 Byrd, Robert [1](#)

## **Extract - Part I - The Scientific Case for Creation**

Part I is a brief summary, in outline form, of [136](#) categories of scientific evidence that support a sudden creation and oppose gradual evolution. As Figure 1 shows, categories 1–[42](#) relate to the **life sciences**, [43–97](#) relate generally to the **astronomical and physical sciences**, and [98–136](#) relate to the **earth sciences**.

Quotations, references, and notes on pages [47–92](#) provide supportive details for specific conclusions. Usually, these details are based on research done by evolutionists who are experts in that particular field. By choosing evolutionists rather than creationists, charges of bias will be avoided. Besides, no testimony is more convincing than that from a “hostile witness.” Most people find the quotations, highlighted in blue type, fascinating.

For many years, students, teachers, and professors have been unaware of most of this information, especially the broader conclusions that can be reached. Those conclusions are stated in Figure 1 and in the following pages in large, bold captions. The larger the caption, the broader the conclusion. There is one overall conclusion for the life sciences, one for the astronomical and physical sciences, and one for the earth sciences. Each has three supporting conclusions, for a total of nine. Each conclusion is based upon about a dozen categories of evidence. All [136](#) are summarized in the following pages. [Figure 1](#) shows the relationships of these 3 + 9 broad conclusions and the [136](#) categories of evidence.

Scientific information cannot be suppressed for long, so it is not surprising to see a growing awareness and excitement concerning this information. Some evidence involves new discoveries. Other evidence, discovered long ago, has been poorly disseminated. If all this information were openly presented in science classrooms, better education would result. Regardless of your age or education, you can learn and help others learn this information about a

## ***What does the Bible say about UFO's and Extraterrestrials***

For details of this see the link <..\Web Page Izak\What does the Bible say about UFO.htm>.

### ***Failing attempts to defend evolution***

Here is an example aimed at protecting the ailed theory of evolution by claiming to have debunked creation science

#### **Creation "Science" Debunked**

by Lenny Flank



---

*"We've been attacked by the intelligent, educated segment of the culture."*

----- Ray Mummert, creationist from Dover, Pennsylvania, 2005

---

That is what a typical debunking web page looks like. It is filled with highly emotive statements centered around wild allegations reminiscent of the language of the gay movement.

Most of it is a twisting of the facts and all of it tries to confine any exploration of the topic on such narrow scientific lines as to make it totally irrelevant. Creation scientists are referred to as lunatic fringe, religious fanatics and the like. Nothing is new and it adds only their deep resentment at having been caught out to the picture.

There is no such thing as creation science because the act of creation is still unfathomable by science. There is such the simple belief that it is the only logical alternative. It is after all observed every day and only in as far as that observation is scientifically done it is a science. The only other alternative offered is by evolutionists and it is so far-fetched that to believe it one has to become part of their lunatic fringe.

Any rational honest approach to the issue can only lead to the answer that in the absence of any rationally probable explanation there must have been a created.

When you pick up a watch in a field you do not say, I wonder how this just accidentally happened. You say, I wonder who made it because of the orderly nature of it. Look at nature the same way and you arrive at the same conclusion.

My grandfather was right. Everyone already knows there is a God. It is the rebels and cowards who try to avoid him. Read Romans 1. It makes it so clear.

## ***Bible Scripture relating to creation and evolution***

### **Genesis 1**

- 1 ¶ In the beginning God created the heaven and the earth.
- 2 And the earth was without form, and void; and darkness *was* upon the face of the deep. And the Spirit of God moved upon the face of the waters.
- 3 ¶ And God said, Let there be light: and there was light.
- 4 And God saw the light, that *it was* good: and God divided the light from the darkness.
- 5 And God called the light Day, and the darkness he called Night. And the evening and the morning were the first day.
- 6 ¶ And God said, let there be a firmament in the midst of the waters, and let it divide the waters from the waters.
- 7 And God made the firmament, and divided the waters which *were* under the firmament from the waters which *were* above the firmament: and it was so.
- 8 And God called the firmament Heaven. And the evening and the morning were the second day.
- 9 ¶ And God said, Let the waters under the heaven be gathered together unto one place, and let the dry *land* appear: and it was so.
- 10 And God called the dry *land* Earth; and the gathering together of the waters called the Seas: and God saw that *it was* good.
- 11 And God said, Let the earth bring forth grass, the herb yielding seed, *and* the fruit tree yielding fruit after his kind, whose seed *is* in itself, upon the earth: and it was so.
- 12 And the earth brought forth grass, *and* herb yielding seed after his kind, and the tree yielding fruit, whose seed *was* in itself, after his kind: and God saw that *it was* good.
- 13 And the evening and the morning were the third day.
- 14 ¶ And God said, let there be lights in the firmament of the heaven to divide the day from the night; and let them be for signs, and for seasons, and for days, and years:
- 15 And let them be for lights in the firmament of the heaven to give light upon the earth: and it was so.
- 16 And God made two great lights; the greater light to rule the day, and the lesser light to rule the night: *he made* the stars also.
- 17 And God set them in the firmament of the heaven to give light upon the earth,
- 18 And to rule over the day and over the night, and to divide the light from the darkness: and God saw that *it was* good.
- 19 And the evening and the morning were the fourth day.

- 20 ¶¶ And God said, Let the waters bring forth abundantly the moving creature that hath life, and fowl *that* may fly above the earth in the open firmament of heaven.
- 21 And God created great whales, and every living creature that moveth, which the waters brought forth abundantly, after their kind, and every winged fowl after his kind: and God saw that *it was* good.
- 22 And God blessed them, saying, be fruitful, and multiply, and fill the waters in the seas, and let fowl multiply in the earth.
- 23 And the evening and the morning were the fifth day.
- 24 ¶¶ And God said, Let the earth bring forth the living creature after his kind, cattle, and creeping thing, and beast of the earth after his kind: and it was so.
- 25 And God made the beast of the earth after his kind, and cattle after their kind, and everything that creepeth upon the earth after his kind: and God saw that *it was* good.
- 26 ¶¶ And God said, let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth.
- 27 So, God created man in his *own* image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them.
- 28 And God blessed them, and God said unto them, Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it: and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth.
- 29 ¶¶ And God said, Behold, I have given you every herb bearing seed, which *is* upon the face of all the earth, and every tree, in the which *is* the fruit of a tree yielding seed; to you it shall be for meat.
- 30 And to every beast of the earth, and to every fowl of the air, and to everything that creepeth upon the earth, wherein *there is* life, *I have given* every green herb for meat: and it was so.
- 31 ¶¶ And God saw everything that he had made, and, behold, *it was* very good. And the evening and the morning were the sixth day.

## Genesis 2

- 1 ¶¶ Thus the heavens and the earth were finished, and all the host of them.
- 2 And on the seventh day God ended his work which he had made; and he rested on the seventh day from all his work which he had made.
- 3 And God blessed the seventh day, and sanctified it: because that in it he had rested from all his work which God created and made.



- 4 ¶ These *are* the generations of the heavens and of the earth when they were created, in the day that the LORD God made the earth and the heavens,
- 5 And every plant of the field before it was in the earth, and every herb of the field before it grew: for the LORD God had not caused it to rain upon the earth, and *there was* not a man to till the ground.
- 6 But there went up a mist from the earth, and watered the whole face of the ground.

## Genesis 6

- 1 ¶ And it came to pass, when men began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters were born unto them,
- 2 That the sons of God saw the daughters of men that they *were* fair; and they took them wives of all which they chose.
- 3 ¶ And the LORD said, My spirit shall not always strive with man, for that he also *is* flesh: yet his days shall be an hundred and twenty years.
- 4 ¶ There were giants in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God came in unto the daughters of men, and they bare *children* to them, the same *became* mighty men which *were* of old, men of renown.
- 5 And GOD saw that the wickedness of man *was* great in the earth, and *that* every imagination of the thoughts of his heart *was* only evil continually.
- 6 ¶ And it repented the LORD that he had made man on the earth, and it grieved him at his heart.
- 7 And the LORD said, I will destroy man whom I have created from the face of the earth; both man, and beast, and the creeping thing, and the fowls of the air; for it repenteth me that I have made them.
- 8 ¶ But Noah found grace in the eyes of the LORD.
- 9 These *are* the generations of Noah: Noah was a just man *and* perfect in his generations, *and* Noah walked with God.

## Job

Job 38:31 Canst thou bind the sweet influences of Pleiades, or loose the bands of **Orion**?

## Romans 1

- 18 For the wrath of God is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness and unrighteousness of men, who hold the truth in unrighteousness;
- 19 ¶ Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed *it* unto them.

- 20 For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, *even* his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse:
- 21 Because that, when they knew God, they glorified *him* not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened.
- 22 Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools,
- 23 And changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and four -footed beasts, and creeping things.
- 24 Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to dishonour their own bodies between themselves:
- 25 Who changed the truth of God into a lie, and worshipped and served the creature more than the Creator, who is blessed forever. Amen.
- 26 For this cause God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature:
- 27 And likewise, also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet.

Rom 8:22 For we know that the whole **creation** groaneth and travaileth in pain together until now.

### Hebrews

Through faith we understand that the worlds were framed, by the word of God, so that the things which are seen were not made of things which do appear. (Heb. 11:3)

## SUMMARY

Does that not give the subject proper perspective? Does it not expose the folly of being drawn into some narrow point of reference on the topic? Before the advent of Aristotle's Western rationalism, man made his decisions after taking into consideration the big picture. By considering motivations attaching to facts, consequences, politics and issues of faith. Why? Because that is how life works. That is what it consists of. One can look at just the eye and see something so complex that the idea of evolution becomes laughable. But one needs to see it in the whole. It's interrelated role in the whole body. When one gets the full picture, one gets perspective. Looking at the leaves of grass will not warn you about the coming veldt-fire if you are not also seeing how the grass lies in the path of it. For that we need to be elevated. Get perspective. Get the full picture. I hope you did

# BIBLIOGRAPHY

## ***Creation / Evolution***

Vital Questions Philip Stott, Khanyana Press,  
Kranskop ISBN0 620 18529 5,

Examines the issues which have enormous influence in shaping the attitudes of our society and the way we think and look at life. Most of us have assumed for years that there must be overwhelming proof for well-established ideas as the Big Bang. On closer examination one finds that the evidence is astonishingly flimsy. many theories taken as undisputable, from primary school to university, are examined and found to be spurious to say the least.

The Evidence for Creation Dr G.S McLean, Roger oakland, Larry McLean, ISBN 0-88368-211-7 really?

Does the average person know that there is no evidence of a single missing link in all of the evolutionary chain in the fossil record?

Evolution - The challenge of the Fossil record Duane T Gish

Fossils in Focus, J Kerby Anderson, Zondervan

A Critique of Radiometric Dating, Harold Slusher, ICRTM.

The average person is not informed how absolutely unreliable the best dating methods that support the theory of evolution are.

Evolution - Possible or Impossible / James F Copedge, Zondervan

On a balance of probabilities, being asked to believe in evolution is tantamount to being asked to believe that a bomb went off in a printing shop, and the result was a fully assembled library. The chances of atoms collecting at random to form the highly complex structures of an amino acid which are the basic building blocks of life, (not to mention DNA needed for procreation) are about the same as that of a tornado going through a junkyard and assembling a Boeing 747 which is has its engines running, ready for takeoff.

Scientific Case for Creation Henry M. Morris, Creation life Publishers

The Flood - Local or Global? Arthur C Custance.

The Flood theory is the only explanation geographic phenomenon that does not rely on ad-hoc theories to disprove the evidence in support of a flood, in support of evolutionary models.

The creation - Evolution Controvecy, Randy L Wysong, Inquiry Press MI.

Yes, the Evolutionists agree, their theory is in tatters - the evidence disproves the theory - consistently.

Ape-Men - fact or Fallacy? M. Bowden, Sovereign Pubs. Kent.

Most of the ape men models have been exposed to be fraudulent - some built up from the tooth of extinct pigs

A Symposium of Creation Donald Patten Baker Vols 1-6 1977  
Now they are saying there was help but it wasn't God - it was the UFO's!

Age of the earth, Harold Slusher & Thomas Gamwell, ICRTM  
Origins of the Universe Duane T Gish, ICRTM.

Most people do not realise that all the evidence supports that of a very young earth indeed. Not only that, evidence of the moon and stars are similar. Only ad-hoc theories based on Reimeinian mathematics (we are everywhere and nowhere at the same time so it doesn't matter where we think we are) still support the wild unsubstantiated theories of the secular humanist evolutionaries.

### **Publications & Creationist organisations:**

Institute for Christian Research (ICR)

A Division of Christian Heritage College, ICR is an institution dedicated to research and writing regarding scientific creationism, conducting debates on creation vs evolution. Address:2716 Madison Ave, San Diego, California 92116

#### Creation-Science Research Center (CSRC)

CSRC is an educational and scientific organisation formed for the purpose of providing information about science and creation. Aids public schools in teaching scientific creationism. Supports legal rights of the Christian child in the public school in order to provide an equal footing with non-Christians.

#### Creation Research Society (CRS)

Membership organisation of Christians who are also creationists and natural scientists. Publishes quarterly journal of Scientific articles on Biblical creationism and catastrophism. Address: 2717 Cranbrook Drive, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48104.

#### Bible - Science Association (BSA)

Promotes creationism in churches and lay groups. Puts out a monthly Bible-Science Newsletter and has creationist books and pamphlets for sale. Address : P.O. Box 1016, Caldwell, Idaho 836 05.

Creation Ex Nihilo, Creation Science Foundation Sunny Park  
Australia

### ***NEW WORLD ORDER***

Conspirators Hierarchy, the story of the Committee of 300 By  
Dr. John Coleman

One World Under Anti-Christ by Peter Lalonde

Global Tyranny Step by Step by William F Jasper

Volkverraad By Adv Piet Pretorius MI6 infiltrated Military Intelligence and CIA National Intelligence and our leaders such as Pik Botha (CIA Agent). Die broederbond, the NGK, Kodesa, the VF, CP, NP and DP moles are exposed.

A History of Communism in South Africa by Henry R. Pike, Christian Mission International, South Africa, ISBN 0-620-08194-5. Shows that Adv Chaskalson now Judge president of the Constitutional Court of South Africa represented arch Communist Braam Fischer and the NUSAS trials, (described in the newspapers as an 'activist' through legalism). F.W de Klerk defended Mandela. Didcott J (now Constitutional

Court Judge) tried to ban this book as he is mentioned.

En` Route to Global Occupation by Gary H. Kah ISBN 0-910311-97-8  
Huntington House Publishers P O box 53788 Lafayette,  
Louisiana 70505 1992

Behold a Pale Horse by Milton William Coopers ISBN 0-929385-22-5

The New World Order by Ralph Epstein Publius press Philanea Plc  
10050 Tuscon Arizona 85730 ISBN 0-9614135-1-4 April 1991

Warning, Second Warning, Final Notice by Barry Smith, Priv press

Satan's Angels Exposed by Salem Kirban ISBN 0-912582-32-4

Understanding the New World Order by Roy Livesey ISBN 0947852263

New World Order William T Still ISBN 0910311641

Diplomacy By Deception Dr. John Coleman

Report from Iron Mountain (1967) Exposes how the powers that be  
utilize "Crisis Management techniques" on nation states in  
order to keep their grip on their manipulative control

Secret and Suppressed Jim Keith

Tomorrow Is Another Country, Allister Sparks, exposes exactly  
what went on behind the scenes during Codesa and the birth  
of the new South Africa.

Syndrome of Control, by Lindsay Williams

Collective Speeches of Congressman Louis T Mc Fadden

Circle of Intrigue by Texxe Marrs

Black Helicopters Over America , Jim Keith.

The Perestroika Deception, Anatoliy Golitsyn Nobody should be  
fooled into thinking Communism is dead after reading this  
book by this absolute Authority on the subject.

The World Conspiracy, Nicola M. Nicolov

The New Money System Mary Stewart Rolphe Phd ISBN 0908421-05-2  
Details of the planned one world money system

A Century of War: Anglo American Oil Politics and the new World  
Order William Engdahl

The Fourth Reich of the Rich by Des Griffin

The Controversy of Zion , by Douglas Reed. Most judges will quote only the Swiss Supreme Court case on the issue, not the appellate Division case when the protocols were credited.  
Empire of the City - World Superstate E.C. Knuth

The Naked Capitalist , W. Cleon Skousen

The Zionist factor : The Jewish Impact on 20th century History,  
Ivor Benson

Behind the Balfour Declaration , Robert John Phd

The Anglo American Establishment , by Carrol Quigly Phd

The World Conspiracy: What the Historians Don't tell You

Dope Incorporated , Executive Intelligence Review

Die Jode by W.S. Mc Loud . Samesweerders of Volk van God. 'n Studie van die konflik tussen die rolle van die Jode in ondermynende bedrywighede en in Bybelprofesie. Uitgegee deur: W.S. Mc Loud, P.O. Box 71706. Die Wilgers 0041.

Henry Kissinger Soviet Agent by Frank A Capel, Herald of Freedom, Zarephath, New Jersey

Kissinger Man of Peace? by Salem Kirban, Library of Congress Catalogue No 7479613 ISBN 0-912582-18-9

The Rockefeller File . The untold story of the most powerful family in America By Gary Allen. Published by '76 Press, The 'WAKE UP SOUTH AFRICA CAMPAIGN' P.O. Box 50471 New Redruth 1452. Covering topics such as The Saintly Sinner, The Family That Preys Together, The Great Energy Swindle, The Eternal Power Behind the Throne and others.

Peril in South Africa by Francis Grim covering Pop Festivals, Pornography, Censorship, Sensitivity Training, Infiltration of Education.

Attempted Rape of South Africa by Francis Grim. Every South African has the right to know the truth regarding the grave danger threatening our land. Covering Pornography, Communist strategy of infiltration, Drugs, Homosexuality, Satan Worship, How to protest etc., Heart Publishers, P.O. Box 353, Kempton Park, South Africa in collaboration

with The Association for the Preservation of Moral Normalities

P.O. Box 25082, Monumnet Park, South Africa.

The New Unhappy Lords by A.K. Chesterton, published by Hampshire Candour Publishing Co 1975 and distributed by Bloomfield Books, 26 Meadow lane, Sudbury, Suffolk England CO10 6TD. An exposure of Power Politics covering Rebellion and War and answering two important questions "What and Who is behind it all". The author was a student of World politics all his life and uncovers closely guarded secrets by international world financiers.

World Revolution. by Nesta H. Webster and Edited and Brought up to date by Anthony Gittens. Published by Britons Publishing Company, 1971. The Plot Against Civilization. SBN 85172 427 2

Proofs of a Conspiracy by John Robison A.M. 1978. Published by Western Islands, Boston-Los Angeles. Covering Schisms in Free Masonry, The Illuminati, The German Union, The French Revolution.

The South African Factor/ Why America is next! by Don McAlvany. Published by M.I.A. Inc. 2320 W. Peoria Avenue Suite c122 Phoenix Arizona 85029.

Die Stryd Om Die Wereld , by G.D. Scholtz, Voortrekker Pers Bpk.

Satan's Mark Exposed 666 by Salem Kirban, Library of Congress Catalogue No 81-80654 ISBN 0-912582-36-7 an exposure of the new one world smart card system that people will carry in their hands. Several updated video presentations such as those by Texxe Mars go in far greater detail and show the actual devices under development and deployment

## ***INDOCTRINATION TECHNIQUES***

Christianity in Crisis Hank Hanegraaf, ISBN 1-86024-027-5, Nelson Word Ltd

Revolution or Reconciliation The Struggle For the Church in South Africa by Rachel Tingle, Christian Studies Centre London, ISBN 0-951372114

The Seduction of Christianity by Dave Hunt and McMahan, ISBN 0-89081-441-4, Harvest House Publishers, Eugene, Oregon

Beyond Seduction by Dave Hunt and McMahan, Harvest House Publishers, Eugene, Oregon



Secret Societies and Psychological Warfare Michael A Hoffman II

Psychological Seduction, The Failure Of Modern Psychology  
William Kirk Kilpatrick (Thomas Nelson Nashville Ten..)

The Agony of Deceit, 1990 by the Moody Bible Institute of  
Chicago, local publishers, Christian Art Publishers,  
Vereeniging. Deals with indoctrination through the mass  
media via TBN etc.

To Rule the World by M. E. Beirnes, Midnight Cry Box 3686  
Tequesta, Florida, 33458 The Control of mass  
Communications Media, Operation 76 the One World Church

KGB Today, The Hidden Hand by John Barron (Readers Digest Press)

What is Secular Humanism, James Hitchcock, Servant Books Ann  
Arbor

Conspirators Hierarchy, the story of the Committee of 300 Dr.  
John Coleman

Liberation Theology, The Church's Future Shock, Gerard  
Berghoff and Lester DeKoster

Psychic Warfare Fact or Fiction, John White

Satan's Angels Exposed by Salem Kirban ISBN 0-912582-32-4

The Occult Conspiracy, Michael Howard

The Evil Eye: The Unacceptable Face of Television , Guy Lyon  
Playfair

Psychiatry Educations Ruin - By the Citizens Commission on Human  
Rights, LA Calif

Lets Weigh The Evidence by Barry Burton. Published by Chick  
Publications, P.O. Box 662, Chino, CA 91708-0662 USA. ISBN  
0-937958-17-4. Which Bible is the REAL word of God?

Die Mening-Smouse deur Ivor Benson. Burea for State Security no  
63.10(608) 356 BEN.Niemand kan hoop om die Suid-  
Afrikaanse politiek te verstaan alvorens by die rol wat die  
Pers speel, verstaan alvorens by die rol wat die Pers  
speel, verstaan nie.

A documented account of the Political Activities of the

Collectivism in the Churches by Edgar C. Bundy Published by The Church League of America, 422 Prospect St. Wheaton Illinois. A devastating indictment, fully documented!

P.O. Box 25082, Monumnet Park, South Africa.

The New Unhappy Lords by A.K. Chesterton, published by Hampshire Candour Publishing Co 1975 and distributed by Bloomfield Books, 26 Meadow lane, Sudbury, suffolk England CO10 6TD. An exposure of Power Politics covering Rebellion and War and answering two important questions "What and Who is behind it all". The author was a student of World politics all his life and uncovers closely guarded secrets by international world financiers.

World Revolution . by Nesta H. Webster and Edited and Brought up to date by Anthony Gittens. Published by Britons Publishing Company, 1971. The Plot Against Civilization. SBN 85172 427 2

Proofs of a Conspiracy by John Robison A.M. 1978. Published by Western Islands, Boston-Los Angeles. Covering Schisms in Free Masonry, The Illuminati, The German Union, The French Revolution.

The South African Factor/ Why America is next! by Don McAlvany. Published by M.I.A. Inc. 2320 W. Peoria Avenue Suite c122 Phoenix Arizona 85029.

Die Stryd Om Die Wereld , by G.D. Scholtz, Voortrekker Pers Bpk.

Satan's Mark Exposed 666 by Salem Kirban, Library of Congress Catalogue No 81-80654 ISBN 0-912582-36-7 an exposure of the new one world smart card system that people will carry in their hands. Several updated video presentations such as those by Texxe Mars go in far greater detail and show the actual devices under development and deployment

## ***INDOCTRINATION TECHNIQUES***

Christianity in Crisis Hank Hanegraaf, ISBN 1-86024-027-5, Nelson Word Ltd

Revolution or Reconciliation The Struggle For the Church in South Africa by Rachel Tingle, Christian Studies Centre London, ISBN 0-951372114

The Seduction of Christianity by Dave Hunt and McMahon, ISBN 0-89081-441-4, Harvest House Publishers, Eugene, Oregon

Beyond Seduction by Dave Hunt and McMahon, Harvest House Publishers, Eugene, Oregon

Psychological Seduction, The Failure Of Modern Psychology  
William Kirk Kilpatrick (Thomas Nelson Nashville Ten..)

The Agony of Deceit, 1990 by the Moody Bible Institute of  
Chicago, local publishers, Christian Art Publishers,  
Vereeniging. Deals with indoctrination through the mass  
media via TBN etc.

To Rule the World by M. E. Beirnes, Midnight Cry Box 3686  
Tequesta, Florida, 33458 The Control of mass  
Communications Media, Operation 76 the One World Church

KGB Today, The Hidden Hand by John Barron (Readers Digest Press)

What is Secular Humanism, James Hitchcock, Servant Books Ann  
Arbor

Conspirators Hierarchy, the story of the Committee of 300 Dr.  
John Coleman

Liberation Theology, The Church's Future Shock, Gerard Berghoff  
and Lester DeKoster

Psychic Warfare Fact or Fiction , John White

Satan's Angels Exposed by Salem Kirban ISBN 0-912582-32-4

The Occult Conspiracy, Michael Howard

The Evil Eye: The Unacceptable Face of Television , Guy Lyon  
Playfair

Psychiatry Educations Ruin - By the Citizens Commission on Human  
Rights, LA Calif

Lets Weigh The Evidence by Barry Burton. Published by Chick  
Publications, P.O. Box 662, Chino, CA 91708-0662 USA. ISBN  
0-937958-17-4. Which Bible is the REAL word of God?

Die Mening-Smouse deur Ivor Benson. Burea for State Security no  
63.10(608) 356 BEN.Niemand kan hoop om die Suid-  
Afrikaanse politiek te verstaan alvorens by die rol wat die  
Pors speel, verstaan alvorens by die rol wat die Pers  
speel, verstaan nie.

Collectivism in the Churches by Edgar C. Bundy Published  
by The Church League of America, 422 Prospect St.  
Wheaton Illinois. A devastating indictment, fully documented!

A documented account of the Political Activities of the

Federal, National and World Council of Churches. To the thousands of faithful Protestant Pastors who have sacrificed buildings, prestige, and pensions rather than bow to the orders of the Social Gospel hierarchy.

The New Charismatics by Michael G. Moriarty. Published by Zondervan Publishing House. ISBN 0-310-53431-3. The Charismatic movement has caused quite a stir in the religious. A concerned voice responds to Dangerous New Trends such as Supernatural worship and spiritual warfare, Healing and prosperity, Signs and Wonders and many other aspects.

Vengeance is ours by Albert James Dager, Published by Sword Publishers, P.O. Box 290, Redmond, Washington, 98073-0290. ISBN 0-9629932-0-4. The Churches in the West have become stagnated; but there is a new militancy stirring in the breasts of Christians in response to the evils that beset society. Questions raised- Is the Church's responsibility to take control of society and reconstruct it in accordance with God's Law?

The facts on Holistic Health and the New Medicine . by John Ankerberg and John Weldon. Published by Harvest House Publishers, Eugene, Oregon 97402. ISBN 0-89081-9734. The Holistic health movement has gathered many occult healing methods under its umbrella in recent years. This booklet, overviews 40 suspect practices, reveals potential dangers of various holistic treatments, question the scientific validity of the New Medicine, exposes occult influence in many holistic treatments. Giving a biblical evaluation of each practice.

Occult ABC by Kurt E. Koch . Published by Kregel Publications, Grand Rapids, Michigan 49501. ISBN 0-8254-3031-3. Brings to the reader an awareness of occult movements and Satan's devices.

Occult Theocracy by Lady Queensborough Edith Starr Miller. Order from Christian Truth and Victory Publishers, 9088 Co. Rd 11 N.W. Alexandria M.N. 56308 U.S.A. A documentation of research, giving evidence and facts covering many topics such as Religion of the Secret, Eastern Religions, Freemasons, The Illuminati. Also revealing the meaning of symbols used by all the groups.

Kinsey, Sex and Fraud by Judith A Reisman and Edward W. Eichel. Published by Lochinvar-Huntington House Publications, P.O.Box 53788, Lafayette, Louisiana 70505 1-800-749--4009. ISBN 0-91-311-20-X The Indoctrination of a People.

Series of lectures by the Rev Chin Reddy, ex member of the House of delegates, current chairman of the African Christian Democratic Party

Innocence Corrupted, by Kieron O'Brien (ex -Police detective) ISBN 0-620-16594-4, Life Grow Ministries, East London. An expose on the deception of teenagers through various means

### **Newsletters**

Signposts Publ. & Research Ctr, P.O Box 26148, Arcadia, 0007, Tel (012) 98-2680, Fax (012) 9801491

Report from Concerned Christians P O Box 22920 Denver Colorado, 80222 Tel (303) 777-3136 (also Kim Miller Switzerland) also Take Heed Update

Discernment Newsletter P O Box 129 Lapeer, Michigan, 48446-

0129 Mainstream Publication Banner Ministries P O Box 23

Derbys, DE56 1QR UK

Antipas Ministries P O Box 3948 Engelwood, CO80155USA  
(By Steve Shearer exposing end time doctrines of Demons)

The Berean Call P O Box 7019, Bend Oregon USA (by Dave Hunt)

Salt Shakers Tel 841014 (A/H) Jude 3 Contend for the faith that was once for all entrusted to the saints

### **Videos**

Collection of transcripts and videos by Jewish Messianic Scholar Jacov Prasch

Massive Collection of videos, tapes and pamphlets by Preach the Word Ministries, Underberg, Natal

### ***NEW AGE SECULAR HUMANISM***

Inside the new Age Nightmare, Randall N Baer, Huntington House Inc. Louisiana, ISBN 0-910311-58-7, A Former Top New

Leader exposes the secret plans and subtle deceptions of the New Age movement. Baer died in a car crash that had all the

makings of sabotage two weeks after the book was released.

The Hidden Dangers of the Rainbow Life Bookroom, P O box  
600 Edenvale 1610, Tel (011) 452-6920-3 Fax 456 4852

Understanding the New Age Movement , Dave Hunt, (Huntington Press)

New Age Bible Versions by G.A. Riplinger. Compiled after a 6-year exhaustive study of new bible versions, their underlying Greek manuscripts, editions, editors and their hidden alliance with the New Age Movement's One World Religion.

Ancient Empires of the New Age, Paul De Parrie / Mary Pride, Crossway Books, Illinois, ISBN 0-89107-530-5

### **Video Tapes**

New Age - (One world religion)

Collection of tapes by Texxe

Marrs

Large collection of videos by Preach the Word Ministries, Underberg, Natal

### **LAW RELATED**

Religious Apartheid By John Whitehead Crossway Books USA  
Moody Bible Institute ISBN 0-8024-7891-3

The Second American Revolution (as above) ISBN 0-89107-367-1

The Day They Padlocked the Church H E Rowe, Huntington House INC. ISBN 0-910311-05-6

Schools Under Fire John Barton & John Whitehead Library of Congress No. 79-93236 ISBN 0-8423-5832-3

Accessory to Murder by Randall Terry

Biblical Solutions to Contemporary Problems by Rus Walton

Clear and Present Danger: Church and State in Post Christian America by William A. Stanmeyer (Servant Publications; Ann Arbor, Mich)

Book Burning by Cal Thomas (Crossway Books; Westchester  
Ill.) The Freedom Of Religious Expression in Public High  
School, John Whitehead (Crossway Books; Westchester, Ill)  
A Private Choice Prof (of Law) John T Noolan Jr. (The  
Free Press, Macmillan; New York

Scopes II the Great Debate by Bill Keith, Huntington  
House Louisiana,

The Law to Teach Creation Science Bill Keith, Huntington  
House Louisiana,

Church vs State: by John W. Whitehead. Published by  
Moody Press, Chicago. For legal assistance or  
educational materials, contact The Rutherford Institute at  
P.O. Box 7482, Charlottesville, Virginia 22906-7482 (804)  
978-3888. Freedom of religion, the first liberty in the  
Bill of Rights, belongs to individuals as well as groups  
including the bodies of believers called Churches. ISBN 0-  
8024-6689-

State vs Parents - by John W. Whitehead. Publishers as  
above ISBN 0-8024-6682-6. Your God-given parental  
rights are eroding far more quickly that you might  
guess. John Whitehead is a constitutional Attorney and  
president of the Rutherford Institute, which defends  
religious liberties.

The Law and the Cliches of Socialism. by Frederic Bastiat.  
Published by Constructive Action, Inc. P.O. Box 4006  
Whittier, California 90607. as a collection of suggested  
answers to some of the most common arguments used in  
favor of socialism today. Forty-two Cliches of Socialism  
illustrated.

### **Newsletters and Christian Legal Organisations**

The Defender, Christian Law Association (CLA) P.O. Box  
30290, Cleveland, Ohio 44130.

Christian Law Association (CLA) (America)  
A service and educational organization to Christian  
Churches and private Christian Church Schools  
providing technical information to schools and pastors  
regarding state policies. They have a monthly  
publication, The Defender available from P.O. Box  
30290, Cleveland, Ohio 44130.

Centre for Law and Religious Freedom (CIRF)

A division of the Christian Legal Society, researching First Amendment issues. Conducts annual conference for attorneys interested in religious freedom: Address: P.O. Box 2069, Oak Park, Illinois, 60303.

Lawyers Christian Fellowship (LCF)

Lawyers Association believing that their vocation is part of their calling from God and reflecting Christian faith in their daily work. LCF asserts that if America is to survive and prosper, then its Judaeo-Christian foundation must be strengthened daily. Address : 3931 East Main Street, Columbus, Ohio 43209.

The Christian Lawyers Association South Africa , Advocate Dieter Achtszehn, Level 6, Salmon Grove Chambers, Smith Street, Durban, 40001

The Rutherford Institute, P O Box 510, Manassas, VA 22110,

USA Court Cases with recognizable and similar methodologies

Bam v ACDP & others Case No 94/25886 WLD, Review proceedings against expulsion attack AGM, Katlahong based applicant, Jewish lawyers, Myopic legalistic judgments, gross irregularities in proceedings. Military Intelligence and National Intelligence involvement, Jesuit methodology employed

Maragu v St. Johns Apostolic Faith Mission (various cases over a period of some 13 years!) (as above) repeated Reviews, AGM attacked, Katlahong, Jewish lawyers, Jesuit/MI/NI methodology all a smokescreen to avoid expulsion.

Congregationalist Association in Cape Town (as above)

Review against expulsion, succeed, seize church assets

Presbyterian Church under Dr. Sam Khumalo DCLD Katlahong again

Bet-El Publishers TPD Sequestration, attempt to sieze tools of trade (library of stifled books such as listed herein)



# LINKS

Chick Publications

<http://www.chick.com/>

Institute for creation research

<http://www.icr.org/>

## Periodicals:

**Acts & Facts, Institute for Creation Research, P.O. Box 2667, El Cajon, CA 92021.**

This small, free, monthly publication is a good way to keep informed on the activities of the Institute for Creation Research (ICR), the largest of the growing number of creation organizations. The insert, *Impact*, contains a brief article on creation science.

- 4) Was the Flood a local or universal flood? 8804
- 5) How could all the animals get into the ark? 8805
- 6) How did all the fossils get there? 8806
- 7) Were did the nations come from? 8807

## Chapter 9 - Problems in Earth History

- 1) What were the created kinds? 8851
- 2) Why did God create carnivorous animals? 8852
- B
- Beardsley, Timothy M. [1](#), [2](#)
- Genesis 1:27 [1](#)
- Genesis 1:28 [1](#)
- Babel [1](#), [2](#)
- Genesis 1:31 [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- Babylon [1](#)
- Genesis 2 [1](#)
- Babylonians, 360-day year [1](#)
- Genesis 2: 5-6 [1](#), [2](#)
- Bacillus [1](#)
- Genesis 2:10-14 [1](#), [2](#)
- background radiation [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#)
- Genesis 2:14 [1](#)
- backward orbits [1](#)
- Genesis 2:19-20 [1](#)
- backward-spinning planets [1](#)
- Genesis 3:18 [1](#)
- Bacon, Francis (1561-1626) [1](#), [2](#)
- Genesis 5 [1](#)
- bacteria [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#), [10](#), [11](#), [12](#), [13](#), [14](#), [15](#), [16](#), [17](#), [18](#), [19](#), [20](#), [21](#), [22](#), [23](#), [24](#), [25](#), [26](#), [27](#)
- Genesis 5:32 [1](#)
- Behemoth [1](#), [2](#)
- Genesis 6: 5 [1](#)
- Behling, Ed [1](#)
- Genesis 7: 4,12 [1](#)
- Benard cells [1](#)
- Genesis 7:11 [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- Benioff zones [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#)
- Genesis 7:11-12 [1](#), [2](#)
- Benkendorf mammoth [1](#), [2](#)
- Genesis 7:12 [1](#), [2](#)
- Bennett, Charles H. [1](#)
- Genesis 7:19-24 [1](#), [2](#)
- bent rocks [1](#)
- Genesis 7:20 [1](#)
- Benton, Thomas H. [1](#)
- Genesis 7:24 [1](#)
- Berezovka mammoth [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#)
- Genesis 8: 1-4 [1](#)
- Berg, Howard C. [1](#)
- Genesis 8: 2 [1](#), [2](#)
- Bergman, Jerry [1](#)
- Genesis 8: 3 [1](#)
- Bering Strait [1](#)
- Genesis 8: 4 [1](#)
- Berk, J. Edward [1](#)
- Genesis 8:15-19 [1](#)
- Berkley, John L. [1](#)
- Genesis 8:2 [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- Berlitz, Charles [1](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- Genesis 9: 1 [1](#)
- Bermuda Rise [1](#)
- Genesis 9:12-17 [1](#)
- Bernstein, Max P. [1](#)
- Genesis 9:15 [1](#)
- beryllium [1](#)
- Genesis 9:24 [1](#)